Medium term load demand forecast of Kano zone using neural network algorithms
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ABSTRACT

Electricity load forecasting refers to projection of future load requirements of an area or region or country through appropriate use of historical load data. One of several challenges faced by the Nigerian power distribution sectors is the overloaded power distribution network which leads to poor voltage distribution and frequent power outages. Accurate load demand forecasting is a key in addressing this challenge. This paper presents a comparison of generalized regression neural network (GRNN), feed-forward neural network (FFNN) and radial basis function neural network (RBFNN) for medium term load demand estimation. Experimental data from Kano electricity distribution company (KEDCO) were used in validating the models. The simulation results indicated that the neural network models yielded promising results having achieved a mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) of less than 10% in all the considered scenarios. The generalization capability of FFNN is slightly better than that of RBFNN and GRNN model. The models could serve as a valuable and promising tool for the forecasting of the load demand.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electricity load forecasting is an essential part of power system energy management. Load forecast refers to estimating the future load through the use of historic available load data. It is a key in the planning, operation and dispatch of electrical energy. Appropriate load prediction provides electricity companies or governments with timely and adequate information to operate the system economically and reliably. Load forecast is critical and necessary because the availability of electricity is one of the most important factors for industrial development especially in a developing country like Nigeria.

Some of the main issues with the Nigerian power sector include high operating cost, high energy losses and high suppressed demand throughout the country. The distribution sector is tasked with the need to ensure adequate network coverage and provision of quality power supply to the public in addition to sufficient marketing and customer service delivery. To reduce the high technical losses and improve the quality of voltage distribution at the electricity distribution sector there is vehement need of constant network upgrade or overhauling which may not be achieved without accurate load demand forecast.

Accurate load forecast greatly influences the planning process undertaken in operation centres of energy providers that relate to the actual electricity generation, distribution, system maintenance as well as
electricity pricing among others. Over the years, many researches were conducted on the Nigerian power sector and its related challenges. Nevertheless, most of these researches centre on general problems of power generation or transmission or distribution or combine and the researches on load demand focuses on the Nigerian wide electricity demand [1, 2] or demand of a town or city [3] or may be short term forecast [2, 4].

Several models related to this work were developed such as grey model [5], support vector regression [6], but the main issues with the support vector machine are the choice of the kernel function parameters, extensive memory requirement and difficulty of interpretation, multi-model artificial neural networks [7], fast-learning recurrent neural network [8]; stability is the major drawback of recurrent neural network. Deep learning neural networks [9], large amount of data requirement and determination of suitable topology are main demerits of deep learning method. Neuro-fuzzy model or fuzzy-neural network [10, 11] utilizes the mapping techniques of neural network to obtain the Fuzzy parameters, nevertheless, when the number of input is large, the number of rules becomes large which increases computational burden, thus in turn affecting the generalization capability of the model. Fuzzy logic model [12], the main inconveniences with Fuzzy logic methods are difficulty in rules formation, membership function selection and inadaptability. This paper focuses on estimating the medium-term load demand of Kano zone using neural network algorithms.

Neural network has gained wide acceptability over the last few decades, especially in the field of system identification, modelling and control applications [13]. It presents a better alternative in approximating a complex nonlinear system and capable to handle well uncertainty [14, 15]. Generalized regression neural network (GRNN), radial basis function neural network (RBFNN) and feed-forward network (FFNN) are class of neural network that are mostly used in mapping a complex nonlinear system. GRNN has a great advantage of faster training and converging to a global solution [16]. In GRNN, the output is predicted using weighted average of the outputs of training data. Radial basis function network structure is a multi-layer feed-forward network. It enhances accuracy and reduces the training time complexity. Feed-forward networks are easier to build, quite stable and have unidirectional flow of information. The available performance measures such MAPE, mean square error (MSE), root mean square error (RMSE) were used in evaluating the forecasting and generalization abilities of the proposed models. The paper is organized as follows: section 2 describes research methodology, section 3 presents the simulation results and section 4 is the conclusion.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This section describes the approaches used to build the neural network models. Since neural networks are classified based on their structure (how the neurons are organized in a systematic manner from input layer to the output layer) as feedforward and recurrent neural network, this paper considered the two classes of the network. The typical methods deployed in developing the neural network models are as follows:

2.1. Generalized regression neural network (GRNN)

GRNN is quite capable to deal with noise, converge to global solution and do not traps in the local minima. The utilization of Gaussian functions by GRNN has immensely aided in achieving high prediction accuracy. The main principle of GRNN is expressed as:

\[ Y(x) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k e^{-\frac{d_k^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{-\frac{d_k^2}{2\sigma^2}}} \]

(1)

where \( Y(x) \) depicts the estimation value of input \( x \), \( y_k \) represents the activation function, \( e^{\frac{d_k^2}{2\sigma^2}} \) is the Gaussian function and \( d_k \) is the squared Euclidean distance. The structure of GRNN is illustrated in Figure 1. From the Figure 1, it can be seen that the GRNN is composed of four layers. The input layer which responsible for feeding the next layer, the pattern layer that computes the Euclidean distance and activation function, the summation layer and the output layer are responsible for normalizing the output vector. The training procedure of GRNN is entirely different from other neural networks. The GRNN finishes the training once each input-output vector pair from the training dataset is fed into the input layer. The number of neurons in the pattern layer is mostly equal to the number of patterns in the training dataset.

2.2. Radial basis function neural network (RBFNN)

Radial basis function neural network has proven to be universal approximator that utilizes radial basis function as activation function. The Figure 2 depicts the structure of the radial basis function neural network [17]. From the Figure 2, it can be seen that the network consists of input layer, hidden layer...
and the output layer. The hidden layer contains the neurons and process the given input by applying a radial basis function $\xi$. Each hidden unit computes its output given by:

$$y_{i,p}(z_p) = \xi(z_p - \mu_i)$$

(2)

where $\mu_i$ is the centre of the basis function and $\| \cdot \|$ depicts the Euclidean distance. The output layer calculates the weighted sum through implementation of linear activation function and yields the output given by the expression:

$$O_{k,p} = \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} w_{ki} y_{i,p}$$

(3)

![Figure 1. Generalized regression neural network structure](image1)

![Figure 2. Radial basis function neural network structure](image2)
2.3. Feed-forward neural network (FFNN)

Neural networks adapt to the environmental changes. The adaptability enhances their performance, even if there are large variations and uncertainties [18]. Neural networks comprise of nodes and links. The nodes receive the incoming signals, process them and yield an output. The links indicate the direction of the information flow which can be in only one direction or bidirectional [18]. The classification of the neural networks are based on their architecture as feed-forward or recurrent neural network [14, 19]. Feed-forward neural network as shown in Figure 3 is the most commonly used for modelling and control because of its stable nature and simplicity [20, 21]. This paper utilizes feed-forward neural network for the forecasting. Details regarding choice of feed-forward neural network could be found in [13, 18, 22-24].

![Feedforward neural network architecture](image)

2.4. Model building

The historic data set from KEDCO was pre-processed and randomly divided into training data set and testing data set. Each of the models was developed using training data containing 80% of the whole data set while the generalization capabilities of the developed models were evaluated using the test data set which contained 20% of the data set. The remaining part of this section below shows how models were realized.

2.4.1. Generalized regression neural network model

The structure of the GRNN is selected as depicted in Figure 1. The pattern layer (second layer) has radbas neurons and biases. The weights of pattern layer are set to $P^1$. The bias is set to column vector of 0.8328/spread. The summation layer (third layer) has purelin neurons. High value of spread enhances the network generalization capability, minimizes forecasting error and the results of the network becomes smoother. The spread is chosen to be 1.0.

2.4.2. RBFNN model

The structure of the RBFNN was chosen exactly the same as that of the GRNN with the only difference that the third layer of the RBFNN is also composed of biases. Since there is no established systematic approach of selecting the structure. It was chosen through trial and error method and realized structure is similar to that shown in Figure 2.

2.4.3. FFNN model

The architecture of FFNN is similar to that illustrated in Figure 3. Choice of appropriate network parameters are key for effective learning and better performance. The hidden layer is made up of ten (10) neurons. The tag-sig and purelin were used as the transfer functions for the hidden and output layer respectively.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Through simulation the performance capabilities and accuracies of different models could be tested. The one-month prediction performances of the models during training and testing phase were illustrated in Figures 4 and 5 respectively. The accuracy of the models were evaluated using commonly performance measures and the results are presented in the Table 1.
Similarly, the Figure 6 and Figure 7 illustrated the models prediction performances for the two months during the training and testing phase respectively. The accuracy of the models was evaluated and the results are illustrated in the Table 2. It is apparent that during the training phase depicted in Figure 4, the predictions of the models were able to follow exactly the trajectory of the observed data and the agreement tally with the evaluated results illustrated in the Table 1 and the predictions are highly accurate [25] having achieved the MAPE of less than 10% [25] by each model. During the testing phase as shown in Figure. 5, also the predictions of the models are quite accurate having achieved the MAPE of less than 10%. For the two months, the predictions of the models during training phase is quite promising as shown in Figure 6 and each of the model was able to achieved the MAPE of less than 10% as presented in the Table 2 indicating highly accurate prediction. During the testing phase as illustrated in Figure 7, the models demonstrated their capabilities of tracking well the path of the observed data and the achieved MAPEs are quite attractive.
less than 10% during the testing phases, the performances of the FFNN is slightly better than the RBFNN and GRNN model. The prediction performances of the models are quite promising and reliable. The models could serve as the useful and efficient tools for the load forecasting of the zone.
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