

# Investigating Aggressive Behavior among Urban Adolescents: The Role of Parental Monitoring and the Dark Triad Personality

Catherine Vanessa Permana

Department of Psychology, University of Surabaya, Indonesia  
catherinevanessaapermana@gmail.com

Ni Putu Adelia Kesumaningsari

Department of Psychology, University of Surabaya, Indonesia  
\*kesumaningsari@staff.ubaya.ac.id  
(Corresponding author)

Surya Prawira Adinata

Department of Psychology, University of Surabaya, Indonesia  
surya.prawira.a0506@gmail.com

## Abstract

Aggressive behavior is an intentional act of hurting other people or creatures, either verbally or non-verbally, and can be influenced by various internal and external factors. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the relationship between parental monitoring and dark triad personality in relation to aggressive behavior in adolescents. The participants were 150 adolescents aged 12 to 15 years, who filled out the Parental Monitoring Scale (PMS), Short Dark Triad (SD-3), and Buss-Perry Aggression Questionnaire (BPAQ). The results showed that parental monitoring and dark triad personality, consisting of machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy, collectively predicted aggressive behavior in adolescents ( $R^2=0.441$ ,  $p<0.05$ ). In comparison to parental monitoring, the dark triad personality was a stronger predictor of aggressive behavior. Machiavellianism contributed the most to aggressive behavior in adolescents (29%). The implications of this study served as a valuable reference for parents, counselors, and communities, emphasizing the importance of addressing and preventing aggressive behavior among adolescents.

**Keywords:** aggressive behavior; parental monitoring, dark triad personality; adolescents.

Received 11 October 2023/Accepted 7 December 2023 ©Author all rights reserved

## Introduction

Adolescence is a transitional period in human life that bridges the gap between childhood and adulthood (Santrock, 2019). During this stage, adolescents experience various crises, ranging from exploring lifestyles, behaviors, values, and traits as a result of identity status confusion. The impact of these crises makes it difficult for them to exercise self-control (Hurlock, 2017). Therefore,

emotional development becomes a crucial aspect of their development, particularly considering their heightened emotional and labile state (Gunarsa, 2000).

Adolescents' emotional development is a complex process influenced by various factors such as biological changes, cognitive development, and environmental influences. During this period, adolescents become more aware of their feelings and those of others, but these perceptions may still be tenuous. Emotional development in adolescents also leads to a strong desire to explore their environment, which sometimes makes it difficult for them to differentiate between positive and negative aspects (Coe-odess et al., 2019). Adolescents often prefer to respond to conditions full of intense or conflicting emotional impulses ("hot cognition") rather than the opposite (Johnson, Blum, & Giedd, 2009). They also had difficulty in self-control and exhibited easily angered behavior (Coe-odess et al., 2019). With their unstable emotional development and tendency for impulsive behavior, adolescents are vulnerable to engaging in aggressive behavior.

Neuroimaging studies fundamentally showed that adolescents exhibited excessive brain responsiveness to pleasurable sensations, were more impulsive, lacked inhibitory control, often struggled to resist desires, and dared to engage in risky behaviors, including immature decision-making (Blakemore & Robbins, 2012). Faster reduction in cortical thickness during adolescence implies that adolescence signifies a distinct phase in cortical development which aligns with abrupt shifts in physical, behavioral, emotional, and social development during this period (Zhou et al., 2015). The typical alterations in cortical thickness pose a potential consideration for the brain activation patterns linked to aggression (Strenziok et al., 2011). Moreover, recent findings have revealed that the asynchronous maturation of functional systems makes adolescents susceptible to psychopathological problems caused by the underdeveloped state of neural networks responsible for emotional regulation (Xie et al., 2021).

Aggressive behavior refers to deliberate physical or verbal actions intended to harm others and cause loss (Myers, 2012). It can be in the form of torture and intentional violence directed at others (Baron & Bryne, 2005). Aggression can be regarded as a language that demands understanding and interpretation from both the aggressor and the individual being aggressed. This underscores the inherent complexity of aggressive behavior (Tordjman, 2022). Buss & Perry

(1992) categorized this behavior into four aspects, namely physical aggression, verbal aggression, anger, and hostility. Therefore, it is concluded that aggressive behavior can manifest as physical or verbal attacks on others, negatively impacting both the recipient and the perpetrator's psychological well-being. The conceptual model of aggression elucidates a cyclic pattern, wherein the suppression of aggression may inadvertently intensify the perceived physical and psychological threats, as well as stress experienced by the aggressor. This, in turn, can contribute to a resurgence of aggressive behaviors, creating a self-reinforcing cycle (Tordjman, 2022).

In Indonesia, the prevalence of aggressive behavior has increased from 6,325 cases in 2013 to 952,397 in 2017, and this trend continues in 2020 (Etika & Yunalia, 2020; Putra & Mardison, 2018; Yanizon & Sesriani, 2019). A study conducted on 2,681 adolescents in Indonesia (Afdal et al., 2020) revealed that half of the participants (n=1,509) exhibited a moderate level of aggressive behavior. Furthermore, adolescents residing in urban and suburban areas were more prone to this behavior compared to those living in rural areas. This indicates that those in urban areas of Indonesia tend to have aggressive behavior issues.

## **Theoretical Background**

### *Parental monitoring and aggressive behavior*

According to the ecological theory proposed by Bronfenbrenner (1979), human development is a product of interactions between individuals and their environment. In other words, internal and external factors shape every aspect of human life. A systematic literature review study shows that aggression are shaped by both internal factors (intrinsic to the individuals involved) and external factors existing beyond the actors themselves. The intensity of aggressive behavior is subject to modulation by various factors termed moderators, which can either amplify or diminish its manifestation. Such variables encompass situational factors, age, gender, parenting styles, and self-control training (Rakhmi, 2020). Internally, it can be caused by feelings of frustration, the desire to joke around, habits, needs, and the urge to express emotional feelings. Meanwhile, externally, it can be attributed to the lack of parental attention, conflicts with peers or within the family, as well as the influence of social interactions and unfavorable environments (Firdaus, Pebrianti, & Andriyani, 2018).

In the context of adolescents, family factors are equally important as biological and psychological factors in predicting aggressive behavior, both directly and indirectly (Raine, 2002). Various literature identified the role of family on adolescent aggression, such as child maltreatment and parent-child interaction (Fagan, 2019; Lakhdar et al., 2020), discipline practices (Cui & Lan, 2020), family functioning (Pérez-Fuentes et al., 2019), parental media monitoring (Padilla-Walker et al., 2019), attachment (Vagos & Carvalhais, 2020), and psychological control (Meter et al., 2019). This indicates that parenting behavior plays a crucial role in shaping aggression.

The way parents interact with their children can also contribute to negative impacts (Reed et al., 2008). In physically aggressive adolescents, inadequate parental bonding serves as a potential contributing factor (Lakhdar et al., 2020). Meanwhile, children with stronger connections to their caregivers exhibit lower levels of aggression (Fagan, 2019). Moreover, linear regression analysis revealed a positive correlation between aggressive behavior and both paternal and maternal harsh discipline (Cui & Lan, 2020). This can be explained from the perspective of social learning theory (Bandura, 1973), where humans learn by observing the behavior of others. Consequently, adolescents might also learn aggressive behavior from what they witness and experience daily, including parents' interaction with them.

Parental caregiving practices can serve as a protective factor against negative behavior in children (Yoon, 2022). Parental related-constructs have been shown to stand as a key protective factor for positive child outcomes for example parent supportive verbal behavior, parental relationship, parent bonding (Yoon, 2022) and parental humor (León-Del-Barco et al., 2022). While parental caregiving practices serve as protective factors in preventing undesirable behaviors, the key should lie in consistently maintaining positive child behavior. The significance of ongoing vigilance and active involvement of parents in overseeing and guiding their children's actions to mitigate the risk of negative behavioral outcomes. The role of the family environment in maintaining positive children's behavior can be achieved through parental monitoring which refers to the supervision provided by parents to their children (Racz & McMahon, 2011),

Stattin & Kerr (2000) stated that parental monitoring involved parents' knowledge of their children's activities outside the home. This knowledge is obtained through the parents' efforts to

gather information about their adolescents' children's activities (socialization and control), as well as their voluntary disclosure of information (child disclosure). According to Xu et al. (2012) parental monitoring can be conducted both actively or passively. While passive monitoring involves seeking information about the children without spying, where parents only monitor from a distance, active monitoring involves direct interaction with the children to observe their behavior and ensure that behavioral boundaries are not violated.

A previous study showed that parental monitoring played an important role in shaping adolescents behavior, particularly in relation to aggressive behavior (Li, Stanton, & Feigelman, 2000). This supported by recent findings that parental monitoring have become integral tools in endeavors to decrease delinquencies (Archer et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Vaughan et al., 2022). This is because parental monitoring encompasses the process of supervision, communication about the problems faced by the children, warmth, and involvement in their lives (Espelage, 2014). Therefore, parental monitoring and guidance are crucial for children to avoid negative influences during their adolescence (Susanti, 2021; Putri, 2020). This indicates that the stronger the monitoring, the lower the level of aggression displayed by adolescents.

Actively engaging in children's lives by consistently monitoring their behavior, can serve as a protective factor against aggressive behavior (Sullivan, Kung, & Farrell, 2004; Jin et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2022, 2023). However, when parental monitoring is too lax, it can increase the likelihood of aggressive behavior. Poor parental monitoring can also promote risky behavior by inhibiting the development of self-control (Dou et al., 2022). Parental monitoring combined with discipline significantly influences self-control, and weak self-control leads to delinquent behavior (Hay, 2001).

The role of parental monitoring as a protective factor against aggressive behavior has also been found in cross-cultural studies that attempt to compare the influence of parental monitoring on aggressive behavior between American and Korean adolescents (Lee & Randolph, 2015) or American and Chinese adolescents (Li et al., 2023) The results from those cross-cultural studies indicate parental monitoring is a crucial factor that inhibits adolescents from engaging in aggressive behavior both in western or eastern countries. Adolescents who perceive increased monitoring

from their parents have a lower likelihood of engaging in aggressive behavior. In Latin America, poor parental monitoring is associated with an increased risk of adolescents engaging in peer violence (Rio et al., 2020). Although parental monitoring has a positive impact on controlling negative behavior, excessive monitoring can lead to the development of undesirable negative behavior. The regulation and monitoring of adolescents should be carried out persuasively while also respecting their privacy (Fitriani & Abdullah, 2021).

#### *The dark triad personality and aggressive behavior*

Parental monitoring is not the sole determinant of aggressive behavior, as personality can also contribute as a dispositional factor that drives individuals to behave aggressively. The General Aggression Model (GAM) (Allen et al., 2018) provides a comprehensive framework for comprehending aggression, taking into account the influence of social, cognitive, personality, developmental, and biological factors. According to GAM, personality linked to aggression utilizes knowledge structures that may increase the probability of participating in aggressive behavior.

Previous studies attempted to link the dark triad personality traits (narcissism, psychopathy, and machiavellianism) to aggressive behavior (Nocera & Dahlen, 2020; Zhang et al., 2022; Zhu & Jin, 2021). From the perspective of dark triad traits, each trait in dark triad contributes to aggressive behavior differently. For instance, psychopathy is positively associated with physical aggression, narcissism negatively correlates with hostility, and machiavellianism is positively associated with hostility (John & Neria, 2015).

Dark triad factors consist of callousness and manipulation. A malevolent personality seems to require both manipulation and callousness as essential and complete elements (Jones & Figueredo, 2013). Psychopathy denotes a personality trait marked by callousness, impulsive thrill-seeking, and involvement in criminal behaviors. In contrast, narcissism is associated with grandiosity, egocentrism, and a sense of personal entitlement. Meanwhile, Machiavellianism is defined by strategic manipulation. These traits might specific characteristics regarding values, goals, motivations, and interpersonal interactions (Jones & Paulhus, 2012).

Narcissistic individuals exaggerate positive perspectives about their qualities and consistently hold others in low regard. They tend to be selfish, arrogant, and exploitative in interpersonal

relationships, viewing others as a means to fulfill their needs for admiration and reinforcement (Rhodewalt & Peterson, 2009). Individuals with narcissistic tendencies persist in their beliefs of self-aggrandizement even when objective verification reveals an exaggeration of their competence. This form of narcissism is characterized by grandiosity, with overarching motivation driven by the relentless pursuit of ego-reinforcement (Jones & Paulhus, 2014).

Meanwhile, psychopathic individuals engage in destructive behavior patterns in interpersonal relationships, using theatrics and warmth to manipulate others for their benefit. They also exhibit high impulsivity and a disposition toward reckless, inappropriate, immoral, and violent behavior (Hare, 1999), accompanied by a lack of empathy, guilt or remorse for their actions toward others (Del Gaizo & Falkenbach, 2008). Their inability to empathize is coupled with a lack of regret, guilt, and remorse (Williams & Paulhus, 2004). Psychopaths exhibit impulsive behavior, forsake relationships with friends and family, and show minimal concern for maintaining a positive reputation (Jones & Paulhus, 2014).

In addition to narcissism and psychopathy, studies also showed that Machiavellianism contributed to aggressive behavior (Berger, Batanova & Cance, 2015; Abell & Brewer, 2014; Lau & Marsee, 2012). Machiavellianism is defined as a personality type characterized by externalizing blame, a cold attitude, and the use of interpersonal strategies to manipulate others for personal gain (Paulhus & Williams, 2002). These individuals tend to see the world cynically, with distrust, and manipulate others. They also exploit the weaknesses of others and engage in emotional manipulation. Machiavellians engage in strategic planning, form alliances, and make efforts to uphold a positive reputation (Jones & Figueredo, 2013; Jones & Paulhus, 2014)

Individuals with high level of machiavellianism are consistently found to be lacking in empathy (Ali & Chamorro-Premuzic, 2010). Adolescents with this trait are reported to engage in bullying more frequently, display less sympathy in bullying victims, believe that others can be deceived, and have a strong desire for success. This indicates they are highly goal-oriented and may engage in aggressive behavior to achieve their goals (Lau & Marsee, 2012). Therefore, they can strategically use aggression to gain power, control, and dominance over others.

Considering the importance of understanding the role of parental monitoring in explaining aggressive behavior and taking into account personality dispositional factors among adolescents, this study aims to examine the relationship between parental monitoring and the dark triad personality about aggressive behavior among urban adolescents. No specific study has investigated the relationship between parental monitoring and dark triad personality in predicting aggressive behavior in adolescents, particularly in the context of urban adolescents. Recent research has solely examined parental monitoring (Ang, 2015; Jin et al., 2022; Vaughan et al., 2022) and dark triad personality (Nocera & Dahlen, 2020; Zhang et al., 2022; Zhu & Jin, 2021) in relation to aggression separately. However, aggressive behavior is formed based on the dynamics of both personal and environmental factors (Allen et al., 2018). Therefore, this study is expected to provide implications by offering insights into how dark triad personality and parental monitoring contribute to aggressive behavior. The results can provide valuable information for parents, counselors, and relevant communities in determining appropriate interventions to prevent and address aggressive behavior.

## Method

### *Participants*

A total of 150 adolescents aged 12-15 years (93 males, 57 females) in Surabaya were selected as participants. Furthermore, a minimum sample size assumption of 100 was used based on Katz's (2011) recommendation that at least 100 is sufficient and can follow a normal distribution. Out of the 150 participants, 59 (39.3%) were 14 years old, 55 (36.7%) were 13 years old, and 29 (19.3%) were 12 years old. Data was gathered through the utilization of convenience sampling methods. Participants were then requested to complete both the informed consent and questionnaire, both of which were administered online.

### *Measuring Instrument*

The participants completed an online questionnaire that included their willingness to participate, questions about personal information, and study scales. The study scales were translated from English to Indonesian and checked for content and translation accuracy by bilingual panelists. This



was performed to ensure the items were easily understood by individuals of different age groups and socio-cultural characteristics who participated in the study.

#### *Buss-Perry Aggression Questionnaire (BPAQ)*

Aggressive behavior was measured using BPAQ developed based on Buss and Perry's theory (1992) with a total of 29 items. This instrument included four aspects, namely physical aggression, verbal aggression, anger, and hostility, and was presented in the form of a Likert scale. The scale presented statements that participants responded to using 5 answer choices: Not at all characteristic of me (1), Not very characteristic of me (2), Neutral (3), Fairly characteristic of me (4), and Very characteristic of me (5). The statements can be both favorable and unfavorable. Each selected answer produced a score ranging from 1 to 5. The reliability of BPAQ in the English version was  $\alpha = 0.89$ . Meanwhile, the translated aggression scale showed a good coefficient alpha of  $\alpha = 0.86$ .

#### *Parental Monitoring Scale (PMS)*

PMS developed by Stattin & Kerr (2000) was used to measure parental monitoring. This instrument consisted of 25 items representing 4 aspects, namely parental control, parental solicitation, youth disclosure, and parental knowledge. The PMS utilized a Likert scale response format with 5 answer choices: (1) Never, (2) Rarely, (3) Sometimes, (4) Often, and (5) Always. This scale comprised 22 favorable and 3 unfavorable items. The reliability of the Parental Monitoring Scale in the English version was  $\alpha = 0.86$ . Meanwhile, the translated version of the scale showed a good coefficient alpha of  $\alpha = 0.85$ .

#### *Short Dark Triad (SD3)*

SD3 (Jones & Paulhus, 2013) was used to assess dark triad personality, including measurements of narcissism, machiavellianism, and psychopathy. The questionnaire, consisting of 27 items, was originally designed by Jones and Paulhus (2013), with 9 items representing each dimension. Examples of items included "Make sure your plans benefit yourself, not others (machiavellianism)"; "I am often compared to famous people (narcissism)"; and "People who annoy me always regret it (psychopathy)." The reliability of the Dark Triad Personality scale in the English version was  $\alpha = 0.77$  for Machiavellianism, 0.71 for Narcissism, and 0.80 for Psychopathy. After translation, a

reliability test was repeated and an acceptable internal consistency score of  $\alpha = 0.740$  was obtained for Machiavellianism, 0.690 for Narcissism, and 0.624 for Psychopathy.

### *Data Analysis*

This study employed a quantitative correlational design to examine the relationships between variables influencing the proposed hypotheses (Sugiyono, 2019). The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 26. Descriptive statistics, including calculating the percentage of participants' characteristics, were conducted initially. Furthermore, multiple linear regression analysis was performed to test the hypotheses. Regression analysis provided information about the percentage contribution of the predictor variable to the criterion variable through R square ( $R^2$ ).

### **Result**

Table 1 provides more detailed information on the participants' demographic data. The descriptive data showed the majority of participants were male (62%) and 14 years old (39.3%). Additional demographic data were also collected to complement this study, showing that the majority of participants still lived with their parents (95.3%) and had a closer relationship with mothers compared to fathers (76%). The demographic data also shed light on the economic status, as indicated by the average income of the parents, where the average income of fathers was  $\geq 10$  million (48.7%) and mothers  $< 4$  million (44%). A substantial portion of parents had pursued undergraduate programs, with 50.7% of fathers and 52% of mothers attaining this level of education. In terms of occupation, the majority of parents worked as private employees (38.7%), while 42% were homemakers/unemployed.

Table 1  
*Participants' Demographic Data (150)*

Characteristics	Frequency	%	Characteristics	Frequency	%
<b>Gender</b>			<b>Father's Education</b>		
Male	93	62%	Junior High School	6	4%
Female	57	38%	Senior High School	22	14.7%
<b>Living With</b>			Undergraduate Program	76	50.7%
Alone	1	0.7%	Graduate Program	29	19.3%
Parents	143	95.3%	Postgraduate Program	17	11.3%
Relatives	5	3.3%	<b>Mother's Education</b>		
Others	1	0.7%	Junior High School	2	1.3%
<b>Closest Figures</b>			Senior High School	21	14%
Father	36	24%	Undergraduate Program	78	52%
Mother	114	76%	Graduate Program	33	22%
			Postgraduate Program	16	10.7%

Table 2  
*Parental Monitoring Variable Category*

Score	Variable	F	Percentage
≥ 87.8	High	71	47.3%
< 87.8	Low	79	52.7%
Total		150	100%

Table 3  
*Dark Triad Variable Category*

Type	F	Percentage
Machiavellianism	100	66.7%
Narcissism	37	24.7%
Psychopathy	13	8.7%
Total	150	100%

Table 4  
*Aggressive Behavior Variable Category*

Score	Category	F	Percentage
$\geq 106.33$	High	11	7.33%
67.67 – 106.32	Moderate	113	75.34%
$< 67.67$	Low	26	17.33%
Total		150	100%

Tables 2, 3, and 4 present a more detailed categorization of the participants' scores for the three variables. Table 2 shows that most of the parental monitoring fell in the low category (52.7%). Meanwhile, Table 3 indicates that the most prevalent category of dark triad personality among the participants was Machiavellianism (66.7%), compared with Narcissism (24.7%) and Psychopathy (8.7%). Regarding aggressive behavior level, the participants exhibited a predominantly moderate level at 75.33% (Table 4).

Table 5  
 Multiple Regression Analysis Test (150)

Predictor	R <sup>2</sup>	Sig.	Coefficient			
			$\beta$	Zero Order	t	p
Parental Monitoring	0.441	0.000	0.011	0.009	0.177	0.860
Machiavellianism			0.477	0.614	6.190	0.000
Narcissism			-0.115	0.193	-1.644	0.102
Psychopathy			0.315	0.538	3.991	0.000

a. Dependent Variable: Aggressive Behavior

Table 6  
 Multiple Regression Test (150)

Predictor	R <sup>2</sup>	Sig.	Coefficient			
			$\beta$	Zero Order	t	p
<b>Model 1</b>						
Parental Monitoring	0.378	0.000	-0.032	0.009	-0.487	0.727
Machiavellianism			0.616	0.614	9.452	0.000
<b>Model 2</b>						
Parental Monitoring	0.037	0.061	-0.011	0.009	-0.134	0.893
Narcissism			0.194	0.193	2.388	0.018
<b>Model 3</b>						
Parental Monitoring	0.292	0.000	0.047	0.009	0.671	0.503
Psychopathy			0.541	0.538	7.780	0.000

a. Dependent Variable: Aggressive Behavior

Tables 5 and 6 show parental monitoring and dark triad personality collectively predict aggressive behavior ( $R^2 = 0.441$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). The determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of 0.441 indicates that parental monitoring and dark triad personality influence aggressive behavior in adolescents. Specifically, only machiavellianism ( $\beta = 0.477$ ,  $t = 6.190$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) and psychopathy ( $\beta = 0.315$ ,  $t = 3.991$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) can predict aggressive behavior. Machiavellianism contributed the most to aggressive behavior in adolescents, accounting for 29%.

## Discussion

This study aims to determine the relationship between parental monitoring and dark triad personality, consisting of machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy, toward aggressive behavior in adolescents. The results of the multiple regression showed that both parental monitoring and machiavellianism collectively predicted aggressive behavior in adolescents ( $p = 0.000$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Parental monitoring and psychopathy also predicted aggressive behavior ( $p = 0.000$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). However, parental monitoring and narcissism did not significantly predict aggressive behavior ( $p = 0.102$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ). These results indicated that parental monitoring and the 3 traits of the dark triad personality could influence aggressive behavior in adolescents.

According to Yendell et al. (2022), the dark triad personality is considered one of the predictors of aggressive behavior in adolescents. This indicates parental monitoring and the dark triad personality have an influence on aggressive behavior. Therefore, those with a low level of parental monitoring and a high level of Machiavellianism are more likely to engage in aggressive behavior. These results aligned with previous studies indicating that the intensity of parental monitoring and machiavellianism could collectively predict aggression ( $R^2 = 0.378$ ,  $\beta = 0.616$ ,  $SE = 37.8\%$ ). Individuals with high levels of machiavellianism tended to have less sympathy for victims and display aggressive behavior (Moor et al., 2019). With a low level of parental monitoring, adolescents with machiavellianism may disregard aggressive behavior, as they lack parental attention, leading to more frequent interactions with their environment. When exposed to individuals exhibiting aggressive behavior, they may automatically imitate criminal behavior (van Lier, 2015). This aligned with Yendell et al. (2022), where a lower level of parental monitoring and a higher level of machiavellianism in individuals were associated with a higher

tendency for aggressive behavior. This can occur because individuals with such traits believe others are weak and unreliable. They are also more likely to disregard moral values and feel no remorse for their actions, even when they make mistakes.

According to Pabian et al. (2015), individuals who exhibit aggressive behavior often possess the dark triad personality, particularly psychopathy. Individuals with psychopathy have low empathy and show no concern for others' circumstances. They also tend to behave aggressively due to their high aggressiveness, impulsivity, and irresponsibility. The results showed that parental monitoring and psychopathy collectively predicted aggression ( $R^2 = 0.292$ ,  $\beta = 0.541$ ,  $SE = 29.2\%$ ). Individuals with a high level of psychopathy are more likely to display antisocial and uncontrolled behavior (Aboujaoude et al., 2015). This aligns with Yendell et al. (2022), indicating that individuals with a high level of psychopathy, combined with a low level of parental monitoring, are more likely to engage in aggressive behavior. This can be attributed to the lack of empathy and remorse. Impulsivity is known as a risk factor for aggression because the lack of self-control enhances reactivity to provocation and reduces feelings of shame when violating social norms.

This study showed that out of the 3 dark triad personality traits, machiavellianism was the strongest predictor of aggressive behavior, accounting for 29%. This was consistent with van Lier (2015), suggesting that a lower level of parental monitoring and a higher level of the dark triad personality, specifically machiavellianism, increased the likelihood of aggressive behavior. The conclusion was also supported by Maneiro et al. (2020), asserting that machiavellianism was the strongest predictor of aggressive behavior in adolescents. Jones and Paulhus (2010) stated that individuals with high level of the dark triad personality were more likely to engage in aggressive behavior. The motivations behind aggression vary among individuals with the dark triad personality, thereby influencing their behavioral motives. Those with machiavellianism are driven by the desire to gain or obtain something, while those with narcissism engage in aggression for retaliatory purposes aimed at restoring their reputation. Individuals with psychopathy are likely to engage without any specific reason (Goodboy & Martin, 2015).

When parental monitoring is categorized as low, aggressive behavior tends to increase. Insufficient parental monitoring exposes adolescents to repetitive play behavior and continuous

exposure to violent content, thereby contributing to an increase in aggressive behavior. This was in line with Keijsers (2016) emphasizing that low parental monitoring tended to contribute to delinquency among most early adolescents. Therefore, Susanti's (2021) statement highlighting the importance of parental monitoring and accompanying their children to avoid negative influences and their impacts was highly relevant. Putri Pradevi (2020) also stated that parental monitoring had a significant influence on avoiding or minimizing the negative impacts of aggressive behavior.

It is important to acknowledge the various limitations of this study. First, the data were obtained from the participants through self-report which may introduce bias. The instrument used to measure dark triad personality, SD3, relied on self-reporting, and this can potentially lead individuals filling out the questionnaire to provide biased responses, resulting in less accurate representations of adolescents' personality. Adolescents tend to present themselves more positively and as having a good personality. The parental monitoring scale used in this study required adolescents to assess their parents' behavior based on their subjective perceptions and memories. However, the advantage of using a self-report scale is that it allows adolescents to assess their parental monitoring behavior in various contexts over a long period. Second, the parental monitoring measured in this study encompassed monitoring from both parents as a whole and did not differentiate between the influence of paternal and maternal monitoring. Therefore, future studies are expected to explore this aspect in greater depth. Third, the sample was limited to early adolescents, meaning the results may not fully represent the role of parental monitoring and the dark triad personality across the entire adolescents' developmental span. Future studies are recommended to include samples representing each stage of adolescents' development, ranging from early to late adolescents.

## Conclusion

This study showed that parental monitoring and the dark triad personality, consisting of Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy, collectively predicted aggressive behavior in adolescents. Among these factors, the dark triad personality was a stronger predictor of aggressive behavior compared to parental monitoring. Machiavellianism specifically emerged as the personality trait that contributed the most to aggressive behavior. The collective findings



contribute significantly to advancing the comprehension of the intricate interplay between dark personality traits and parental monitoring in shaping adolescent aggression. This study shedding light on the nuanced dynamics that underlie the relationship between these variables.

By elucidating this connection, the research provides valuable insights into potential avenues for intervention and prevention strategies targeting adolescent aggression for parents, counselors, and communities to prevent and mitigate aggressive behavior in urban adolescents. Moreover, the findings suggest that mitigating the emergence of Machiavellianism traits can be achieved through enhancing the cultivation of empathy and emotional intelligence in both parental and educational settings. This suggestion is based on the acknowledgment that individuals displaying Machiavellian tendencies tend to express their desires without adequate consideration for the well-being of others. This disregard for others frequently leads them to engage in aggressive behaviors as a method to gain control or achieve their goals. By cultivating these essential socio-emotional skills, individuals can enhance their ability to understand the perspectives and emotions of others, thereby reducing the probability of adopting Machiavellian traits.

### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the University of Surabaya Institute for Research and Community Service for the funding support, as well as the participants who willingly contributed as participants.

### References

- Abell, L., & Brewer, G. (2014). Machiavellianism, self-monitoring, self-promotion and relational aggression on Facebook. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 36, 258–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.03.076>
- Aboujaoude, E., Savage, M. W., Starcevic, V., & Salame, W. O. (2015). Cyberbullying: Review of an old problem gone viral. *Journal of adolescent health*, 57(1), 10-18.
- Afdal, A., Fikri, M., Pane, N., & Andriani, W. (2020). Exploration of aggressive behavior among adolescent in Indonesia. *Konselor*, 9(4), 165-173. doi:<https://doi.org/10.24036/0202094111914-0-00>
- Ali, F., & Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2010). The dark side of love and life satisfaction: Associations with intimate relationships, psychopathy and Machiavellianism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 48(2), 228–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.10.016>

- Allen, J. J., Anderson, C. A., & Bushman, B. J. (2018). The General Aggression Model. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 19, 75–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsy.2017.03.034>
- Ang, R. P. (2015). Adolescent cyberbullying: A review of characteristics, prevention and intervention strategies. In *Aggression and Violent Behavior* (Vol. 25, pp. 35–42). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2015.07.011>
- Archer, R., Jackson-Jefferson, M., Celebi, M., & Granger, T. (2022). Understanding the role of unstructured socializing with peers and peer delinquency as mediators in the relationship of parental monitoring and delinquency. *Journal of Crime and Justice*, 45(5), 588–608. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0735648X.2022.2038232>
- Bandura, A. (1973). *Aggression: A social learning analysis*. Oxford: Prentice-Hall
- Baron, R.A. dan Byrne, D. (2005). *Psikologi Sosial Edisi Ke-10*. Jakarta: Erlangga
- Berger, C., Batanova, M. & Cance, J.D. (2015). Aggressive and Prosocial? Examining Latent Profiles of Behavior, Social Status, Machiavellianism, and Empathy. *J Youth Adolescence* 44, 2230–2244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-015-0298-9>
- Blakemore, S. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2012). Decision-making in the adolescent brain. *Nature neuroscience*, 15(9), 1184–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3177>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development*. Harvard University Press.
- Buss, A.H. and Perry, M.P. (1992). The Aggression Questionnaire. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63, 452-459.
- Coe-odess, S. J., Narr, R. K., & Allen, J. P. (2019). *Handbook of Emotional Development*. In *Handbook of Emotional Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17332-6>
- Cui, G., & Lan, X. (2020). The Associations of Parental Harsh Discipline, Adolescents' Gender, and Grit Profiles With Aggressive Behavior Among Chinese Early Adolescents. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11(March), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00323>
- Del Gaizo, A. L., & Falkenbach, D. M. (2008). Primary and secondary psychopathic-traits and their relationship to perception and experience of emotion. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 45(3), 206–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.03.019>
- Dou, K., Wang, L. X., Cheng, D. L., Li, Y. Y., & Zhang, M. C. (2022). Longitudinal association between poor parental supervision and risk-taking behavior: The role of self-control and school climate. *Journal of adolescence*, 94(4), 525–537. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jad.12043>
- Espelage, D. L. (2014). *Ecological Theory: Preventing Youth Bullying, Aggression, and Victimization. Theory Into Practice*, 53(4), 257–264. doi:10.1080/00405841.2014.947216
- Fagan, A. A. (2019). Child Maltreatment and Aggressive Behaviors in Early Adolescence: Evidence of Moderation by Parent/Child Relationship Quality. *Child Maltreatment*, 25(2), 182–191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559519874401>
- Firdaus, Y., Pebrianti, Y., & Andriyani, T. (2018). Pengaruh Kecanduan Game Online Terhadap Perilaku Konsumtif Siswa Pengguna Game Online. *Jurnal Riset Terapan Akuntansi*, 3 (Manajemen Aset Bagi Optimalisasi Pengelolaan Aset Tetap), 40–51
- Fitriani, D., & Abdullah, S. M. (2021). Peran Orang Tua dalam Mendukung Kesejahteraan Psikologis Remaja di Era Digital. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional 2021 Fakultas Psikologi UMBY*, 176-191
- Goodboy, A. K., & Martin, M. M. (2015). The personality profile of a cyberbully: Examining the Dark Triad. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 49, 1–4.
- Gunarsa. (2000). *Psikologi Remaja*. Jakarta : BPK Gunung Mulia

- Hare, R. D. (1999). *Without conscience: The disturbing world of the psychopaths among us*. The Guilford Press.
- Hay, C. (2001). Parenting, self-control, and delinquency: A test of self-control theory. *Criminology*, 39, 707–736.
- Hurlock, E. B. (2017). *Psikologi Perkembangan Suatu Pendekatan Sepanjang Rentang Kehidupan* (edisi ke – 5). Jakarta: Erlangga
- Jin, C., Wang, B., Ji, A., & Zhao, B. (2022). Perceived Parental Monitoring and Online Deviant Behavior Among Chinese Adolescents: a Moderated Mediation Model. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 31(10), 2825–2836. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-022-02237-w>
- Johnson, S. B., Blum, R. W., & Giedd, J. N. (2009). Adolescent maturity and the brain: the promise and pitfalls of neuroscience research in adolescent health policy. *The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*, 45(3), 216–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.05.016>
- Jones, D. N., & Figueredo, A. J. (2013). The Core of Darkness: Uncovering the Heart of the Dark Triad. *European Journal of Personality*, 27(6), 521–531. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1893>
- Jones, D. N., & Neria, A. L. (2015). The Dark Triad and dispositional aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 86, 360–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.06.021>
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2012). Differentiating the Dark Triad Within the Interpersonal Circumplex. *Handbook of Interpersonal Psychology: Theory, Research, Assessment, and Therapeutic Interventions*, 249–267. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118001868.ch15>
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Introducing the Short Dark Triad (SD3): A Brief Measure of Dark Personality Traits. *Assessment*, 21(1), 28–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191113514105>
- Katz, M. H. (2011). *Multivariabel Analysis: A Practical Guide for Clinicians and Public Health Researchers*. United States of America: Cambridge University
- Keijsers, L. (2016). Parental monitoring and adolescent problem behaviors: How much do we really know? *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 40(3), 271–281.
- Lakhdar, M. P. A., Rozi, S., Peerwani, G., & Nathwan, A. A. (2020). Effect of parent-child relationship on physical aggression among adolescents: Global school-based student health survey. *Health Psychology Open*, 7(2), 2055102920954715. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2055102920954715>
- Lau, K. S. L., & Marsee, M. A. (2012). *Exploring Narcissism, Psychopathy, and Machiavellianism in Youth: Examination of Associations with Antisocial Behavior and Aggression*. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 22(3), 355–367. doi:10.1007/s10826-012-9586-0
- Lee, J., & Randolph, K. A. (2015). Effects of Parental Monitoring on Aggressive Behavior among Youth in the United States and South Korea: A Cross-National Study . *Children And Youth Services Review*, 55, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2015.05.008>
- León-Del-Barco, B., Mendo-Lázaro, S., Polo-del-Río, M. I., Fajardo-Bullón, F., & López-Ramos, V. M. (2022). A Protective Factor for Emotional and Behavioral Problems in Children: The Parental Humor. *Children*, 9(3), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children9030404>
- Li, S. D., Liu, T.-H., & Xia, Y. (2023). A Comparative Study of Parenting Practices and Juvenile Delinquency between China and the United States. *Deviant Behavior*, 44(4), 636–651. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2022.2081102>

- Li, X., Stanton, B., & Feigelman, S. (2000). Impact of perceived parental monitoring on adolescent risk behavior over 4 years. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 27*, 49–56.
- Maneiro, L., Navas, M. P., Van Geel, M., Cutrín, O., & Vedder, P. (2020). Dark triad traits and risky behaviours: Identifying risk profiles from a person-centred approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17*(17).
- Meter, D. J., Ehrenreich, S. E., & Underwood, M. K. (2019). Relations between Parent Psychological Control and Parent and Adolescent Social Aggression. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 28*(1), 140–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-018-1240-z>
- Moor, L., & Anderson, J. R. (2019). A systematic literature review of the relationship between dark personality traits and antisocial online behaviours. *Personality and Individual Differences, 144*, 40-55.
- Myers, D.G. (2012). *Psikologi Sosial Jilid 2*. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika
- Nocera, T. R., & Dahlen, E. R. V. O.-35. (2020). Dark Triad Personality Traits in Cyber Aggression Among College Students. *Violence Vict, 4*, 524–2020. <https://doi.org/10.1891/VV-D-18-00058>
- Pabian, S., De Backer, C. J. S., & Vandebosch, H. (2015). Dark Triad personality traits and adolescent cyber-aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences, 75*, 41–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.11.015>
- Padilla-Walker, L. M., Stockdale, L. A., Son, D., Coyne, S. M., & Stinnett, S. C. (2019). Associations between parental media monitoring style, information management, and prosocial and aggressive behaviors. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 37*(1), 180–200. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407519859653>
- Paulhus, D. L., & Williams, K. M. (2002). The Dark Triad of personality: narcissism, machiavellianism, and psychopathy. *J. Res. Pers, 36*, 556–563. doi: 10.1016/S009-6566(02)00505-6
- Pérez-Fuentes, M. D., Molero Jurado, M. D., Barragán Martín, A. B., & Gázquez Linares, J. J. (2019). Family Functioning, Emotional Intelligence, and Values: Analysis of the Relationship with Aggressive Behavior in Adolescents. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 16, Issue 3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16030478>
- Putri Pradevi, A. (2020). Hubungan pengawasan orang tua dalam penggunaan gadget dengan kemampuan empati anak. *Jurnal Pendidikan Anak, 9*(1), 49– 56.
- Racz, S. J., & McMahon, R. J. (2011). The Relationship Between Parental Knowledge and Monitoring and Child and Adolescent Conduct Problems: A 10-Year Update. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, 14*(4), 377–398. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-011-0099-y>
- Raine, A. (2002). Biosocial studies of antisocial and violent behavior in children and adults: A review. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 30*(4), 311–326.
- Rakhmi, D. I. (2020). Antecedent and Consequence of Aggressive Behavior: The Empirical Framework and Future Implication. *395(Acpch 2019)*, 201–205. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200120.042>
- Reed, T. J., Goldstein, S. E., Morris, A. S., & Keyes, A. W. (2008). Relational aggression in mothers and children: Links with psychological control and child adjustment. *Sex Roles, 59*(1–2), 39–48.
- Rhodewalt, F., & Peterson, B. (2009). Narcissism. In M. R. Leary & R. H. Hoyle (Eds.), *Handbook of individual differences in social behavior* (pp. 547–560). The Guilford Press.

- Rios, M., Friedlander, S., Cardona, Y., Flores, G., & Shetgiri, R. (2020). Associations of Parental Monitoring and Violent Peers with Latino Youth Violence. *Journal of immigrant and minority health*, 22(2), 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-019-00894-6>
- Santrock, J. W. (2019). *Life-Span Development* 17th Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill Education
- Stattin, H., & Kerr, M. (2000). Parental monitoring: A reinterpretation. *Child Development*, 71(4), 1072–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00210>.
- Strenziok, M., Krueger, F., Heinecke, A., Lenroot, R. K., Knutson, K. M., van der Meer, E., & Grafman, J. (2011). Developmental effects of aggressive behavior in male adolescents assessed with structural and functional brain imaging. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 6(1), 2–11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsp036>
- Sugiyono, 2013 “Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D, Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sullivan, T. N., Kung, E. M., & Farrell, A. D. (2004). Relation between witnessing violence and drug use initiation among rural adolescents: Parental monitoring and family support as protective factors. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 33, 488–498.
- Susanti. (2021). Peran Orang Tua Pada Pengawasan Penggunaan Smartphone Anak Usia Sekolah Dasar Di Desa Pontanakayang Kabupaten Mamuju Tengah. *Respiratory Software Universitas Negeri Makassar*. <http://eprints.unm.ac.id/id/eprint/1818>
- Tordjman, S. (2022). Aggressive behavior: A language to be understood; [Les comportements agressifs: un langage à comprendre]. *Encephale*, 48, S4 – S13. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85137568701&doi=10.1016%2Fj.encep.2022.08.007&partnerID=40&md5=648b3580f09136c1382068891207f44c>
- Vagos, P., & Carvalhais, L. (2020). The Impact of Adolescents’ Attachment to Peers and Parents on Aggressive and Prosocial Behavior: A Short-Term Longitudinal Study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11(December), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.592144>
- van Lier, S. R. E. (2015). The dark side of personality and its relationship to aggression. *MaRBLe*, 6.
- Vaughan, E. P., Speck, J. S., Frick, P. J., Robertson, E. L., Ray, J. V., Thornton, L. C., Wall Myers, T. D., Steinberg, L., & Cauffman, E. (2022). Longitudinal associations of parental monitoring and delinquent peer affiliation: The potential influence of parental solicitation and monitoring rules. *Journal of Adolescence*, 94(4), 656–666. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/jad.12054>
- Williams, K. M., & Paulhus, D. L. (2004). Factor structure of the Self-Report Psychopathy scale (SRP-II) in non-forensic samples. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37(4), 765–778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2003.11.004>
- Xie, S., Zhang, X., Cheng, W., & Yang, Z. (2021). Adolescent anxiety disorders and the developing brain: Comparing neuroimaging findings in adolescents and adults. *General Psychiatry*, 34(4), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gpsych-2020-100411>
- Xu, Z., Turel, O., & Yuan, Y. (2012). Online game addiction among adolescents: Motivation and prevention factors. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 21(3), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2011.56>

- Yang, P., Lippold, M. A., & Schlomer, G. L. (2022). Longitudinal Within-Family Association Between Parental Monitoring and Adolescent Aggressive Behaviors: Mothering versus Fathering. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 42(7), 885–913. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02724316221078828>
- Yang, P., Lippold, M. A., Schlomer, G. L., Feinberg, M. E., & Fosco, G. M. (2023). Protective effect of parental monitoring on early-to-mid adolescents displaying high-level and increasing aggressive behavior. *Applied Developmental Science*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2023.2221437>
- Yanizon, A., & Sesriani, V. (2019). Penyebab Munculnya Perilaku Agresif Pada Remaja. *KOPASTA: Jurnal Program Studi Bimbingan Konseling*, 6(1), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.33373/kop.v6i1.1915>
- Yendell, A., Clemens, V., Schuler, J., & Decker, O. (2022). What makes a violent mind? The interplay of parental rearing, dark triad personality traits and propensity for violence in a sample of German adolescents. *PLoS one*, 17(6).
- Yoon, S. (2022). Understanding Family Risk and Protective Factors That Shape Child Development. *Children*, 9(9), 10–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children9091344>
- Zhang, Z., Bian, S., Zhao, H., & Qi, C. (2022). Dark triad and cyber aggression among Chinese adolescents during COVID-19: A moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(November), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1011123>
- Zhou, D., Lebel, C., Treit, S., Evans, A., & Beaulieu, C. (2015). Accelerated longitudinal cortical thinning in adolescence. *NeuroImage*, 104, 138–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.10.005>
- Zhu, Y., & Jin, C. (2021). A meta-analysis of the relationship between the Dark Triad and aggressive behaviors. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 29(7), 1195.