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Abstract

This paper gives an overview of the main current control strategy for single-phase grid-connected inverters. The model of the power circuit is first discussed. Then, a classification of current control strategy in stationary reference frame follows. This is continued by a discussion of current control structures for single phase grid-connected inverters and the possibilities of implementation in stationary reference frames. The other non-mainstream regulators were also introduced. Further on, both the model of the power circuit and current control strategy in rotating reference frame were focused on as well. The overview of control strategy for single-phase grid-connected inverters and their advantages and disadvantages were concluded in this paper.
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1. Introduction

Nowdays, fossil fuel is the main energy supplier of the worldwide economy, but the recognition of it as being a major cause of environmental problems makes the mankind to look for alternative resources in power generation. Moreover, the day-by-day increasing demand for energy can create problems for the power distributors, like grid instability and even outages. The necessity of producing more energy combined with the interest in clean technologies yields in an increased development of power distribution systems using renewable energy.

Among the renewable energy sources, the photovoltaic (PV) technology gains acceptance as a way of maintaining and improving living standards without harming the environment. The number of PV installations has an exponential growth, mainly due to the governments and utility companies that support programs that focus on grid-connected PV systems. Besides their low efficiency, the controllability of grid-connected PV systems is their main drawback. As a consequence, the current controller plays a major role. Therefore, the control strategies become of high interest.

This paper gives an overview of the main current control strategy for single-phase grid-connected inverters. The model of the power circuit is first discussed. Then, a classification of current control strategy in stationary reference frame follows. This is continued by a discussion of current control structures for single phase grid-connected inverters and the possibilities of implementation in stationary reference frames. The other non-mainstream regulators were also introduced. Further on, both the model of the power circuit and current control strategy in rotating reference frame were focused on as well. The overview of control strategy for single-phase grid-connected inverters and their advantages and disadvantages were concluded in this paper.

2. The Model Of The Power Circuit

The full bridge topology with the inductor L, connected between the grid and the inverter, is presented in Figure 1. The capacitor Ci in the structure input, representing the DC voltage source, and a current source li, that can be either the output of the DC-DC converter or an array of photovoltaic panels.
The output current is controlled by imposing the derivative of the current through the inductor, or, put differently, by imposing the voltage across the inductor \(L\). In this manner, the structure of the converter shown in Figure 2 can be represented, without loss of generality, as the controlled voltage source \(V_i\), presented in Figure 2, where the link inductors are represented by the inductor \(L\), \(V_o\) is the utility voltage, and \(i_L\) is the output PV system current.

\[
V_i(t) = V_o(t) - V_s(t)
\]  

(2.1)

PWM defines a modulated signal composed of the reproduction of the modulating signal’s spectrum, whose amplitude is defined by the modulation, added to harmonic components of frequencies that are multiples of the switching frequency. Ignoring the effect of the harmonic components of the switching frequency on voltage \(V_i\), once the inductor works as a low pass filter for the current, the voltage imposed across the inductor is represented simply by (2.1). Figure 3 shows the manner in which the converter allows the voltage to be imposed across the inductor, as shown in the equivalent circuit of Figure 2.

Indeed, the output current is desired to be a mirror of \(V_o\) as expressed in (2.2). Nevertheless, according to (2.3), the inductor voltage is the derivative of the current through itself. Therefore, (2.4) describes the voltage \(V_i\), which, in effect, is defined by the control loop, should present a sine, in order to null the effect of \(V_o\), and a cosine, which, by composition, will be the resulting voltage imposed across the inductor, therefore, guaranteeing a sinusoidal current. In practice, at the grid frequency, the inductor is a very small reactance, causing the...
voltage drop across the inductor to be much smaller than the utility voltage. In other words, the sine of \( V_i \) dominates the cosine, demonstrating that the demand on the current loop is much more in favor of annulling the “disturbance” of the utility voltage rather than to effectively control the output current.

![Figure 3. Block diagram of the simplified equivalent circuit.](image)

\[
I_i(t) = I \sqrt{2} \sin(\omega t) \quad (2.2)
\]

\[
V_i(t) = L \frac{di_i(t)}{dt} = \sqrt{2} \omega LI \cos(\omega t) \quad (2.3)
\]

\[
V_i(t) = \sqrt{2} \omega LI_{\text{rms}} \cos(\omega t) + \sqrt{2} V_{\text{rms}} \sin(\omega t) \quad (2.4)
\]

3. The Current Control Strategy Of The Inverter In Stationary Reference Frame

In the control strategy, an internal current loop and an external loop to control the input voltage are implemented. The voltage loop defines the amplitude of the reference current by multiplying its control signal by a “waveform”, which can be a sample of the output voltage or a digitally generated sinusoid, generating the output current reference.

3.1 Classic PI control strategy

Figure 4 demonstrates how the classic PI control strategy is implemented, in which \( V_i \) is determined by the current error signal passing through the compensator. The error signal is the difference between a sample of the current and its reference.

![Figure 4. Block diagram of classical PI control strategy current loop](image)

It is observed, however, that the output voltage \( V_o \) appears as a disturbance in the simplified traditional model. From the block diagram, the current signal error is equal to \( e(t) = I_{\text{ref}}(t) - I_i(t) \). Since a perfectly sinusoidal current to the utility line is a design goal, \( e \) must naturally approach zero. So, there are two tasks that PI-controller has to operate: tracking reference current and rejecting disturbance voltage [1]-[2].

However, when the reference current is a direct signal, zero steady-state error can be secured by using a classic proportional-integral (PI) controller. When the reference current is a sinusoidal signal, it would lead to steady-state error due to finite gain at the grid frequency.
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3.2 Classic PI control strategy with feed-forward

As the grid voltage is measurable, the forward feedback controller $G_{cd}$ is used to reduce steady-state error of the controller due to the finite gain of PI, as shown in Figure 5. The model of PWM is the $k$, and $k = \frac{e_2}{V_{tri}}$, Where $V_{tri}$ is the peak of the triangular carrier signal and $e_2$ is the input of the PWM.

![Figure 5. Block diagram containing the feed-forward controller](image)

From the proposed block diagram that contains this feed-forward controller, it can be seen that:

$$i_L(s) = \frac{k(k_p + \frac{k}{s})}{sL + k(k_p + \frac{k}{s})} i_{vcd} + \frac{k(G_{cd} - \frac{1}{k})}{sL + kC_1} V_0 \quad (3.1)$$

From (3.1), when $G_{cd}=1/k$, the disturbance from $V_0$ can be eliminated, and if $k(k_p + \frac{k}{s}) >> sL$, then $i_L = i_{ref}$, identifying the accurate current control effect for $i_{ref}$.

PI control with grid voltage feed-forward is commonly used for current-controlled PV inverters, but this solution exhibits two well known drawbacks: not enough ability of the PI controller to track a sinusoidal reference without steady-state error and poor disturbance rejection capability [3]-[5]. This is due to the poor performance of the integral action. Moreover, this leads in turn to the presence of the grid-voltage background harmonics in the current waveform. Thus, a poor THD of the current will typically be obtained.

3.3 The Proportion+Resonant(PR) regulator in stationary reference frame

3.3.1 Cosine function based on the internal model principle

New stationary reference frame control method that is based on the internal model principle in control theory. The method introduces a sine transfer function with a specified resonant frequency into the current compensator. Thus, the gain of the open-loop transfer function of the control system goes to infinity at the resonant frequency, which ensures that the steady-state errors in response to step changes in a reference signal at that frequency reduces to zero.

Consider the control system in which the reference input signal is sinusoidal. Based on the internal model principle [6], the compensator with a sinusoidal transfer function is required. There are two alternatives for the sine transfer function. One is the Laplace transform of a cosine function, and the other is that of a sine function. They are given by

$$G_{c1} = \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}, \quad G_{c2} = \frac{s^2}{s^2 + \omega^2}.$$
Compared the Bode diagrams of $G_{c1}$ and $G_{c2}$ in Figure 6, it is observed that $G_{c1}$ has a sufficient amount of phase margin, 90 degree, but the phase margin of $G_{c2}$ is only 0 degree. Therefore, if $G_{c2}$ is employed for the sinusoidal internal model, the feedback control system would probably be highly underdamped. Therefore, it is important to note that the cosine function, $G_{ci}$, should be chosen for the sinusoidal internal model. In this paper, $G_{c1}$ is called the sine transfer function. The gain of the sine transfer function is theoretically infinite at the resonant angular frequency; namely, the gain of the loop transfer function goes to infinity at grid frequency $\omega = \omega_g$. Figure 7 shows the block diagram of the sinusoidal internal model $G_{c1}$, where the input and output are $u$ and $y$, respectively; and the gain is $K_s$ [7].

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{bode_diagram.png}
\caption{Bode diagram of two transfer functions, $G_{c1}$ and $G_{c2}$}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{block_diagram.png}
\caption{Block diagram of sinusoidal internal model $G_{c1}$ based on cosine function}
\end{figure}

3.3.2 The second order generalized integrator for a single sinusoidal signal

The paper proposes the concept of integrators for sinusoidal signals. The concepts of ideal integrator for a single sinusoidal signal and a stationary-frame ideal integrator for sinusoidal signals are explored [8].

Similar to the direct signal case, for a sinusoidal signal $e(t) = A\sin(\omega t + \phi)$, the amplitude integration of this signal can be written as $y(t) = A\sin(\omega t + \phi)$. Defining further an auxiliary signal $x(t) = A\cos(\omega t + \phi)$, the Laplace transforms of the three signals are
Then an ideal integrator for a single sinusoidal signal can be configured as shown in Figure 8. It is easy to get the result shown in Figure 9 from Figure 8 [9]. The corresponding stationary-frame generalized integrator is shown in Figure 9(c). The integrator output contains not only the integration of the input, but also an additional negligible component. The second order generalized integrator is shown in Figure 10, where \( K_I \) is the integral constants [10]-[11].

\[
Y(s) = \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2} \left( \frac{A\omega \cos \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{A\sin \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2} \right) + \frac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2} \left( \frac{A\cos \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2} - \frac{A\omega \sin \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2} \right)
\]

\[
E(s) = \frac{A\omega \cos \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{A\sin \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2}
\]

\[
X(s) = \frac{A\cos \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2} - \frac{A\omega \sin \varphi}{s^2 + \omega^2}
\]  

(3.2)

Figure 8. An ideal integrator for a single sinusoidal signal

Figure 9. Signal passing through an ideal integrator
3.3.3 The Proportion+Resonant (PR) regulator

Form the above conclusions, it is explicit that both cosine function based on the internal model principle, and the second order generalized integrator, have the same expression \( \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2} \), but looking at issues from different views. The former, from the view point of frequency domain, explain how to get infinite gain at the resonant frequency, which ensures that the steady-state errors in response to reference sinusoidal signal reduces to zero. The latter, from the view point of time domain, explain the integrator concepts for sinusoidal signal, just like the integrator concepts for direct current signal.

We call it, \( \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2} \), resonant regulator, and the Proportion +Resonant (PR) current controller \( G_{c1} \) is defined as:

\[
G_{c1}(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2} = K_p + G_{hc1}(s)
\] (3.3)

where, \( K_P \) and \( K_I \) are the proportional and integral constants respectively.

In the case of current control for grid connected inverter, the current error signal is nonsinusoidal, which contains multiple current harmonics. For each current harmonic of concern, a corresponding resonant regulator must be installed. When the multiple current harmonics are of concern, the corresponding resonant regulator should be installed. Resonant frequencies of the resonant regulator correspond to the frequencies of the concerned current harmonics. The harmonic compensator (HC) \( G_{hc} \) is defined as below,

\[
G_{hc} = \sum_{h=3,5,7,n} K_{ih} \frac{s}{s^2 + (\omega_h)^2}
\] (3.4)

Commonly; it is designed to compensate the selected harmonics 3rd, 5th and 7th, as they are the most prominent harmonics in the current spectrum.[12]-[14]

Using (3.3), (3.4), the transfer function of the generalized resonant regulator \( G_c \) can be expressed as

\[
G_c(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2} + \sum_{h=3,5,7,n} K_{ih} \frac{s}{s^2 + (\omega_h)^2}
\] (3.5)

Figure 11 shows a more detailed picture of the standard controller scheme of \( G_c \) for the single-phase grid-connected PV inverter (the PWM modulator is intentionally omitted).
The Bode plots of disturbance rejection for the PI and PR controllers are shown in Figure 12, where: $\varepsilon$ is current error and the grid voltage $V_o$ is grid voltage, considered as the disturbance for the system [14].

As it can be observed, around the fundamental frequency the PR provides 140 dB attenuation, while the PI provides only 17 dB. Moreover around the 5th and 7th harmonics the situation is even worst, the PR attenuation being 125 dB and the PI attenuation only 8 dB. Moreover from Figure 12, it is clear that the PI rejection capability at 5th and 7th harmonic is comparable with that one of a simple proportional controller, the integral action being irrelevant. Thus it is demonstrated the superiority of the PR controller respect to the PI in terms of harmonic current rejection.

The open loop and closed loop frequency response of the system using PR controller can be seen in Figure 13 and Figure 14 respectively [15].
3.3.4 The damped PR regulator

The PR regulator, exhibit theoretically an infinite gain at the resonance frequency, ensuring a nearly perfect harmonic elimination. However, the realization of ideal generalized integrators is sometimes not possible due to finite precision in digital systems, and the gain, at the resonance frequency, is easy to be affected by the fluctuation of the grid frequency.

Thus, a damped generalized integrator is proposed in which have limited gain at the resonance frequency. This configuration can be realized in digital platforms with a high accuracy and, moreover, it is well suited for alleviating some instability problems identified in ideal integrators [16]-[18].

\[
G_{c1}(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{2\xi \omega_n s}{s^2 + 2\xi \omega_n s + \omega_n^2} = K_p + G_{hc1}(s)
\]

\[
G_{hc} = \sum_{h=3,5,7,n} K_{ih} \frac{2\xi \omega_n s}{s^2 + 2\xi \omega_n s + (\omega_n h)^2}
\]

\[
G_{c}(s) = K_p + \frac{2\xi \omega_n s}{s^2 + 2\xi \omega_n s + \omega_n^2} + \sum_{h=3,5,7,n} K_{ih} \frac{2\xi \omega_n s}{s^2 + 2\xi \omega_n s + (\omega_n h)^2}
\]

Using the band-pass filters \(G_{hc1}\) and \(G_{hc}\), which are expressed in (3.6), the reference signal to grid current transfer function exhibits both a larger bandwidth and smaller magnitude dips.

3.3.5 The optimum damped PR regulator

Figure 14 shows the Bode diagram of the reference signal to the grid current transfer function. A flat unity gain and zero phase are observed within the frequency range of interest. In that case, a good reference-signal-tracking capability is expected. However, this feature forces the reference signal to be a nearly perfect sinusoidal waveform with an insignificant harmonic content. In fact, the flat unity-gain and zero phase characteristics suggest that the grid current will track the fundamental reference signal and its harmonics perfectly.
Paper [19]-[20] presents a current control scheme for the single phase grid-connected PV inverter with the following interesting features: 1) accurate synchronization with the grid voltage; 2) low harmonic content of the grid current; and 3) low computational load. Figure 15 shows the proposed current control scheme. Figure 16 shows the Bode diagram of reference signal to grid current transfer function.

As expected, the reference signal to grid current transfer function behaves as a low-bandwidth band pass filter tuned to resonate at the grid frequency. Note that the transfer function magnitude and phase are 0 dB and 0° at 50 Hz, respectively, which suggests that a good tracking capability of the fundamental grid voltage component is achieved. Moreover, a significant additional attenuation is observed in Fig. 16 in the shape of the four narrow dips that are centered at frequencies of 150, 250, 350, and 450Hz, respectively. This behavior confirms that the simple and accurate synchronization method used in the proposed control scheme will not introduce the harmonic content of the reference signal into the grid current. Moreover, the Bode plots of disturbance rejection for the optimum damped PR regulator controllers is the same as Figure 12. Thus it is demonstrated the optimum PR controller has same superiority in terms of harmonic current rejection. Meanwhile, it is worth mentioning that the PLL-based synchronizing algorithm is not used in this system with the optimum damped PR regulator, so the computational load is necessarily lower due to without processing time required to compute the PLL synchronizing algorithm.
3.3.6 The other non-mainstream regulator

Hysteresis controller [21]-[24] is worth noticing that in the case of hysteresis control implementation, an adaptive band of the controller has to be designed to obtain fixed switching frequency. However it has major drawbacks in variable switching rate, current error of twice the hysteresis band, and high-frequency limit-cycle operation.

The dead-beat controller [25] attempts to null the error with one sample delay. Since dead-beat controller regulates the current such that it reaches its reference at the end of the next switching period, the controller introduces one sample time delay. To compensate for this delay, an observer should be introduced in the structure of the controller, with the aim to modify the current reference to compensate for the delay. Performance of the prediction [26]-[28], on the other hand, is subject to accuracy of the plant model as well as accuracy of the reference current prediction.

A sliding-mode current controller [29]-[30] for a grid-connected PV system is proposed to provide a robust tracking against the uncertainties within the system. The controller is designed based on a time-varying sliding surface. However, the selection of a time-varying surface is a difficult task and the system stays confined to the sliding surface. Moreover, some intelligent control techniques such as neural network, genetic algorithm, fuzzy logic, etc. are used, and these methods extend the search space significantly. However, the intelligent control techniques cannot capture the dynamics of the system accurately and the model-based controllers are more useful to perform this task efficiently.

4. The Current Control Strategy Of The Inverter In Dq Rotating Reference Frame

Although for a three phase converter simple PI compensators designed in a dq rotating frame can achieve zero steady state error at the fundamental frequency and improve its dynamic response, this method is not readily applicable to single-phase power converters because there is only one phase variable available in single-phase power converter, while the dq transformation needs at least two orthogonal variables.

In order to construct the additional orthogonal phase information from the original single-phase power converter, we introduced the Imaginary Orthogonal Circuit concept, as shown in Figure 17. The Imaginary Orthogonal Circuit has exactly the same circuit components and parameters, e.g. power switches, inductors and capacitors. Ideally the state variables and control references, such as the inductor current \( i_l \) and the grid voltage \( V_o \), maintain 90 phase shift with respect to their counterparts in the Real Circuit \( i_{L-R} \) and \( V_{o-R} \). In other words, the Imaginary Circuit variable \( X_i \) (including \( i_l \) and \( V_l \)) is orthogonal to the Real Circuit variable \( X_R \), (including \( i_R \) and \( V_R \)), as shown in Figure 18. Although the Imaginary Circuit does not physically exist, it is possible to construct its state variables from the Real Circuit state variables.

As shown in Figure 18, assuming the steady state Real Circuit variable is expressed as

\[
X_R = X_M \cos(\omega t + \varphi)
\]

where \( X_M \) is the peak value of the sinusoidal waveform, \( \varphi \) is the initial phase and \( \omega \) is the fundamental frequency. Ideally the corresponding Imaginary Orthogonal Circuit variable would be \( X_I = X_M \sin(\omega t + \varphi) \). Applying the rotating transformation matrix to the stationary Real and Imaginary Circuit variables, the variables in the dq rotating frame become

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_d \\
X_q
\end{bmatrix} = T
\begin{bmatrix}
X_R \\
X_I
\end{bmatrix} = X_M
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \varphi \\
\sin \varphi
\end{bmatrix},
T =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \omega t & \sin \omega t \\
\sin \omega t & \cos \omega t
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where the variables in the rotating frame \( X_d \) and \( X_q \) may represent either the voltages or the currents in the rotating frame.

It is important to notice that the variables in the rotating frame become constants (DC values), as shown in (4.1). Those DC values define the DC operating point of the single-phase converters in the rotating DQ frame. All the control methods developed for DC/DC converters can be applied.
4.1 The model of the power circuit in rotating reference frame

The equivalent circuit of the single-phase VSI for grid connected system is shown in Figure 2. The equivalent circuit, voltage vector can be written voltage equation in the stationary reference frame $\alpha\beta$ with Kirchhoff’s voltage law (KVL) as follows [31]-[33]:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{i-R} &= L \frac{dI_{L-R}}{dt} + V_{o-R} \\
V_{i-I} &= L \frac{dI_{L-I}}{dt} + V_{o-I}
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

where $I_L$ is the output current components of the inverter in the stationary reference frame $\alpha\beta$, and $L$ is the inductance. If considering the parasitic resistance of inductance $R$, the equation is

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{i-R} &= RI_{L-R} + L \frac{dI_{L-R}}{dt} + V_{o-R} \\
V_{i-I} &= RI_{L-I} + L \frac{dI_{L-I}}{dt} + V_{o-I}
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)
Applying the dq rotating transformation to (4.3), the resultant circuit model in the dq rotating frame is expressed in (4.4), and the circuit model is shown in Figure 19.

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
R I_{d} \\
I_{q}
\end{array} \right] + L \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
I_{d} \\
I_{q}
\end{array} \right] &= \left[ \begin{array}{c}
V_{d} \\
V_{q}
\end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-oL
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
I_{d} \\
I_{q}
\end{array} \right] \\
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
V_{d} \\
V_{q}
\end{array} \right] &= V_{DC} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
D_{d} \\
D_{q}
\end{array} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that in the stationary frame the steady state output voltages are sinusoidal, while in the dq rotating frame the steady state voltages are constant DC values. The cross-coupling terms are introduced due to the rotating transformation, and they can be decoupled in the controller design.

![Figure 19. The power circuit model in the dq rotating reference frame](image)

**4.2 Classic PI control strategy in the in rotating reference frame**

Compensator design in the DQ rotating frame is similar to that of DCDC converters. Generally classic PI control strategy is used to eliminate steady error, as shown in Figure 20 [34]-[37].
Combining the power circuit and control circuit mathematical model of the functional modules of the transfer function, the mathematical model of current control loop for grid-connected inverter is obtained, as shown in Figure 21. As shown in Figure 21, the $V_{o-d}$, $V_{o-q}$, $\omega I_{L-d}L$, and $\omega I_{L-q}L$ are the disturbance of the current loop.

4.3 Classic PI control strategy with feed-forward in the in rotating reference frame

In order to relieve the pain of performing the task of the PI controller, and to make system have rapid speed response of anti-disturbance ability, a new PI control strategy with feed-forward is also proposed, as shown in Figure 22, and model of current control loop is presented in Figure 23 [38]-[42].
In this structure, the dc-link voltage is controlled in accordance to the necessary output power. Its output is the reference for the active current controller, whereas the reference for the reactive current is usually set to zero, if the reactive power control is not allowed. In the case that the reactive power has to be controlled, a reactive power reference must be imposed to the system. For improving the performance of PI controller, depicted in Figure 22, cross-coupling terms and voltage feed-forward are usually used. In any case, with all these improvements, the compensation capability of the low-order harmonics in the case of PI controllers is very poor, standing as a major drawback when using it in grid-connected systems.

5. Conclusions
This paper has discussed the control of the current control strategy for single-phase grid-connected inverters. Different implementation control strategy both in stationary reference
frame and rotating reference frame is presented, and their major characteristics were pointed out. A discussion about different controllers and their ability to compensate for grid disturbance was also given.
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