Object Detector on Coastal Surveillance Radar Using Two-Dimensional Order-Statistic Constant-False Alarm Rate Algorithm
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Abstract
This paper describes the development of radar object detection using two dimensional constant false alarm rate (2D-CFAR). Objective of this development is to minimize noise detection if compared with the previous algorithm that uses one dimensional constant false alarm rate (1D-CFAR) algorithm such as order-statistic (OS) CFAR, cell-averaging (CA) CFAR, AND logic (AND) CFAR and variability index (VI) CFAR where has been implemented on coastal surveillance radar. The optimum detection result in coastal surveillance radar testing when Pfa set to 1e-2, Kth set to 3/4*Nwindow and Guard Cell set to 0. Principle of 2D-CFAR algorithm is combining of two CFAR algorithms for each array data of azimuth and range. Order statistic (OS) CFAR algorithm is implemented on this 2D-CFAR by fusion rule of AND logic. The algorithm of 2D-CFAR is developed using Microsoft Visual C++ 2008 and the output of 2D-CFAR is plotted on PPI scope radar using GDI+ library. The result of 2D-CFAR development shows that 2D-CFAR can minimize noise detected if compared with 1D-CFAR with the same parameter of CFAR. Best performance of 2D-CFAR in object detection when Nwindow set to 128. The time of software processing of 2D-CFAR is about two times longer than the 1D-CFAR.
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1. Introduction
Object or target detection in noise environment is very important problem in radar system. One technique to detect of object in noise environment is using constant false alarm rate (CFAR). This detection refers to a common form of adaptive algorithm used in radar systems to detect target returns against a background of noise, clutter and interference [1]. Cell average (CA) and order statistic (OS) CFAR has been implemented on coastal surveillance radar where has been tested in Tanjung Pasir Beach located in Banten Province, Indonesia and the result as shown on Figure 1.
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Figure 1. Echo signal of object and noise plotted on PPI scope
The optimum result of coastal radar detection in range 1 NM (Nautical Mile) when Nwindow set to 64, Pfa set to 1e-2 but noise signal from surrounding area is still detected. CA-CFAR has good performance on homogenous environment and the other hand OS-CFAR has good performance on non homogenous environment and multiple targets [1]-[6]. Figure 2 shows the performance detection of the CA and OS CFAR algorithm where have been implemented on coastal radar. The detection probability Pd of CA CFAR is describe in [7],[8] and Pd of OS CFAR is describe in [8],[9].

Two-dimensional constant-false alarm rate (2D-CFAR) will be developed to minimize noise detected rather than using one dimensional CFAR. Principle of two dimensional CFAR is combining of two cfar algorithm [10] to compare cell under test with array data of azimuth bin cell and array data of range bin cell as shown in Figure 3. OS-CFAR will be implemented and tested on this development of 2D-CFAR. It is chosen because it has good performance on non homogeneous environment and for multiple targets. The block diagram of OS-CFAR is as shown on Figure 4.

---

**Figure 2. Performance detection of CA and OS CFAR**

**Figure 3. Principle of 2D-CFAR**
The performance detection of OS-CFAR depending on select $K^{th}$ which $K^{th} = 3/4*N$ is the optimum value \[11\] and probability of false alarm (Pfa) value. The $K^{th}$ value is estimated to be the means of clutter \[12\]. Scaling factor (Tos) calculated by following formula \[8\],[9],[13] :

$$P_{fa} = K^{(N)} \frac{(K-1)(T+N-K)!}{(T+N)!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:
- Pfa  = Probability False Alarm
- K  = Selected cell unter test
- T  = Scaling Factor
- N  = Sliding Window

This paper focus on the development of 2D-CFAR based on combine of two OS-CFAR algorithm. 2D OS-CFAR detector algorithm was developed using Microsoft Visual Studio C++ 2008.

2. Research Method

The 2D-CFAR implemented by combines of two OS-CFAR algortim as shown on Figure 5. First step is calculate OS-CFAR for azimuth and then calculate OS-CFAR for range with output of each step is convert into binary number which logic 1 represent as object and logic 0 represent as noise. Each ouput from OS-CFAR-Azimuth and OS-CFAR-Range will be compared using AND logic rule to get output of 2D-CFAR as shown on Table 1. Base on optimum setting of previous experiment of coastal surveillance radar, so setting parameter of each OS-CFAR is Pfa=1e-2, Nwindow=64, $K^{th}=3/4*Nwindow$, array of bin cell of range=1024 and array of bin cell of azimuth=360.
The key factor of CFAR algorithms lies in setting the threshold adaptively by estimating the background noise power included in a test cell [14]. The scaling factor (T) decreases when Pfa or Kth increase [15],[16] for fixed Nwindow value. Array data of range and azimuth is collected from radar receiver as beat signal through Analog to Digital Converter (ADC) using firmware was installed in PC/Laptop as shown on Figure 6. Raw data from ADC need to be preprocessing and FFT processing first before through to CFAR processor. Implementation code of OS-CFAR using Microsoft Visual C++ 2008 and the parameter setting of OS-CFAR is as shown on Figure 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. The rule of AND logic 2D-CFAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS-CFAR-Azimuth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Collect data of array bin cell range and azimuth

Figure 7. Parameter Setting OS-CFAR
3. Results and Analysis

Simulation for 2D OS-CFAR from raw data coastal surveillance radar is done by configuring CFAR parameter on window software as shown on Figure 7. First simulation is one dimensional CFAR using OS algorithm for range bin cell and then two dimensional CFAR with parameter setting is radial distance set to 0.5NM, sliding window set to 64, probability of false alarm set to 1e-2. Figure 8(a) show the result of one dimension CFAR where PPI scope show many clutter or noise in there. Figure 8(b) show the result of two dimensional CFAR where PPI scope show reduction of clutter or noise in the same region of Figure 8(a). Figure 9 show detection performance of 1D OS-CFAR and 2D OS-CFAR where 2D OS-CFAR has better detection rather than 1D OS-CFAR for same SNR value. Figure 10 show different performance of 2D-CFAR with difference Nwindow setting where radial distance set to 1 nautical mile and probability of false alarm set to 1e-2.

![Figure 8. Minimize noise detected CFAR](image)

(a) one dimensional CFAR  (b) two dimensional CFAR

![Figure 9. Performance detection of 1D-OS and 2D-OS CFAR](image)

Better performance of 2D-CFAR achived when Nwindow set to 128 as shown on Figure 11. Object or target detected can be seen clearly from the other its because scaling factor (T) is
decreases when Nwindow increases as shown on Table 2. Clutter or noise is impact of internal or external interference like some unwanted product of digital synthesis, power converter or reflection coming from nearby buildings and sea [17].

Table 2. Scaling factor (T) for OS-CFAR for Pfa=1e-2 and Kth = 3/4*Nwindow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nwindow</th>
<th>Scaling Factor (T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. 2D-CFAR performance with difference Nwindow

Table 3 shows the difference of program processing time of one dimensional CFAR and two dimensional CFAR with processor Intel core 2 duo @ 2.1 GHz, 32 bit operation system and 3GB RAM installed on laptop. The time of program processing is getting higher when Nwindow set to higher and program processing time of 2D-CFAR is about two times longer than the 1D-CFAR. The efficiency of selected CFAR performs depends on values of the length of cell (Nwindow) [18] correlated with rotation speed of antenna for one degree. If the time processing
of 2D-CFAR is more longer than rotation speed of antenna so Nwindow CFAR must be decrease to reduction time processing but it’s will decrease perform of radar object detection too.

![Image](image-url)

**Figure 11. Difference performance 2D-OS-CFAR base on number of sliding window**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nwindow</th>
<th>1D-CFAR (ms)</th>
<th>2D-CFAR (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. The time of program processing of 1D-CFAR vs 2D-CFAR**

4. **Conclusion**

Software development of 2D-CFAR works as expected. Output of 2D-CFAR and 1D-CFAR has different performance on object or target detection in noise inveronment radar system. Performance of object detection of 2D-CFAR is better when Nwindow set to 128. The time of software processing of 2D-CFAR is about two times longer than the 1D-CFAR. In the future optimize of algorithm to reduce software processing time is needed.
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