Counting Blessing: The Effect of Gratitude Training on Prosocialness among Teachers in Inclusive School
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Abstract

Gratitude can motivate everyone to be pro-socially behaved, create a positive social relation, and build the sense of togetherness in the school community. This research examines to measure the effect of gratitude training on teacher’s prosocial behavior in inclusive school with special need student (SEN). This is the quasi experimental research. There were 120 subjects divided into two groups, control and experiment. The treatment given to the experiment group was gratitude training. Data collected by using the sixteen items of the prosocialness scale for adults by Caprara et.al (2005) that has an excellent reliability (ω=0.980, 95%CI=0.972,0988) and distributed twice on pre and post-test. Statistical analysis showed (rs=0.339, p=.001, Hodges’ g=-3.000, 95% CI=-5.00, -1.00) that means gratitude training have had an effect that tends to be moderate to the teacher’s prosocialness. However, this research’s findings showed that gratitude is one of important psychological strength to motivate teachers’ prosocialness in inclusive school. This finding can serve as recommendations for prosocialness improvement programs for inclusive teachers in Indonesia with all its realities.
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Introduction

Inclusive education in Indonesia has been established since 2009, but the attention to the implementation itself is still considered a lack. This condition was illustrated in a research by Kaplan, Lewis, & Mumba (2007) which portrayed the inclusive education in three countries, namely England, Zambia and Indonesia. In his research, Kaplan et.al (2007) explained that the execution in Indonesia was still far from appropriate in terms of infrastructure, e.g. the school building’s condition and its sanitation, the lack of participation from teachers and school’s administration staff in informing the learning process and their inclusive attitude towards the students which built the students’ negative perception. This implementation was also recorded by Sunardi, Yusuf, Gunarhadi, Priyono,
Kristiana, Kustanti, Salma. & Yeager (2011) by involving 186 inclusive schools which described that, in terms of school management, there were 19% inclusive schools which did not apply the appropriate student selection process without any record on how the teachers or other academic staffs performed in those inclusive schools.

A teacher plays a crucial role in the inclusive education. A research on teachers’ performance in England conducted by Robinson (2017) claimed that teachers participating in the government’s “on the job training” showed a crisis in teaching efficacy. Other previous success of providing researches such as Forlin (2010) criticized the inclusive teachers’ performance highlighting the teachers’ lack of preparation which was proven to be the obstacles in applying the inclusive education. This problem became urgent since preparing the teachers to become effective educators in an inclusive school was not an instant process (Blanton & Pugach, 2007). Internationally speaking, numerous criticisms regarding teachers’ performances in an inclusive school were addressed to: 1) the teacher’s knowledge and skills preparation in which the trainings provided were proven to be insufficient in improving their knowledge and skills (Hodkinson, 2005; Jones, 2006); 2) the attitude and psychological condition of the teachers who taught students with special needs in which, in this case, by having the positive attitude and good psychological condition, they would have been able to improve their teaching and overcome any possible obstacles (Slee, 2001; Lambe & Bones, 2006).

A teacher’s positive psychological and social condition such as, patience, compassion and strong desire to assist students with special needs is predicted to be influential in the best education for those exceptional children (Safran & Safran, 2001). Other supporting studies claimed that when a teacher is in a good emotional state, he/she will be able to provide the necessary emotional support to students (Brown, Jones, LaRusso, & Aber, 2010; Tom, 2012), which will affect the students’ adaptation process (Buyse, Verschueren, Verachtert, & Van Damme, 2009; Maldonado-Carreño & Votruba-Drzal, 2011). Several researches pointed out the importance of focusing on the teacher’s psychological and social condition, especially not only those who teach the students with special needs but also including those who worked in an inclusive school due to the considerable challenge in teaching these children which demanded patience, tolerance and empathy, acceptance and other
positive attitudes including having a willingness to help students achieve learning goals and develop their potential (Robertson, Chamberlain, & Kasari, 2003; Yell, Katsiyannis, Drasgow, & Herbst, 2003). Social psychological demands as mentioned earlier are attached to the role of a teacher. In performing their job duties, teachers often have to be capable to help, care for, or entertain students. A series of voluntary actions to help is a definition of prosocialness (Bar-Tal, 1982; Batson & Shaw, 1991; Schroeder, Penner, Dovidio, & Piliavin, 1995).

Prosocial behavior in adult individuals can be done to obtain certain interests, social meaning, and heuristic values. In adulthood, a person’s tendency to act prosocial may receive threats or obstacles from interpersonal experiences (such as experiences in the work environment) that change a person’s intention or goal to help, for example, personal competition, achievement, and individual strengths. Beside depends on the capacity of adults themselves to assist, support others, and actively build or maintain strong social relationships (Eisenberg et. al., 2002). Teachers in inclusive schools with a description of the work context and tasks that must be done are an example of an identical adult’s job role with high demands in showing prosocial behavior.

The process of prosocial sense making has been studied to have a positive impact on the formation of organizational commitment. Teachers who have a prosocial sense will interpret personal actions and identities as caring for the organization (school). An indicator of a teacher having good affective commitment is a prosocial sense of the school where he works (Grant, Dutton, & Rosso, 2008). This also indicates that teachers have an attachment to the school where they work (Grant, Dutton, & Rosso, 2008). Prosocial behavior is also synonymous with extra-role behavior as a description of organizational citizenship behavior (Robbins, 2002). Teachers who have prosocial behavior will be willing to volunteer to do work with full of commitment and even do tasks outside the minimum responsibility assigned to them and not easily pass the responsibility on to colleagues. Furthermore, prosocialness will make teachers have satisfaction with their work (Aydin & Aslan, 2021).
Teachers should be able to be role models of prosocial behavior for students and the surrounding community. For this reason, teachers need to have and develop high interpersonal sensitivity and a caring attitude to respond effectively to problems that arise both in the school environment and in the community.

Previous research reports that one of the factors that is quite consistent in influencing the formation of prosocialness is gratitude (e.g., Tsang, 2006; Bartlett & DeSteno, 2006; McCullough, Emmons, & Tsang, 2002). Some theorists believe that gratitude is a function of nurturing social relations through mutual encouragement, prosocial behavior between benefactor and recipient (Algoe & Haidt, 2004; Emmons & McCullough, 2004).

The potential positive effects of gratitude in individuals and society are numerous, and researchers are only beginning to explore them. Gratitude also shows an important impact at workplace. Andersson, Giaclalone, & Jurkiewicz’s (2007) research shows that employees associated feelings of gratitude with a greater sense of social responsibility towards coworkers and social issues. Early research on gratitude focused primarily on the role of gratitude in social exchange. For example, Trivers (1971) theorizes that gratitude evolves to increase the odds prosocial behavior will be reciprocated, thus perpetuating reciprocal altruism and its health-related benefits. McCullough and colleagues theorize that gratitude serve as moral or prosocial barometer that a philanthropist deliberately giving benefits or assistance to other people (beneficiaries) then beneficiaries will be motivated to acting up prosocial back to generous (McCullough et.al, 2001; McCullough et al., 2006). Research has supported that individual more likely to evoke experience gratitude in return (Lane & Anderson, 1976; Tesser, Gatewood, & Driver, 1968). So, gratitude maybe advance and maintain an economic motive in exchange for action prosocial (Tsang & Martin, 2019).

Gratitude is gratitude addressed to other people that is raised through social exchanges between the person being assisted and the person who provides assistance (generous) (Blau, 1964). Research has shown that gratitude has positive effects not only for the person being helped but also for the helpers themselves. Expressions of gratitude seem to function as a moral booster in enhancing prosocial behavior (McCullough et al., 2001). A study has shown that when assistance from helpers is appreciated by beneficiaries then helpers are
more willing to help these beneficiaries again (Clark, Northrop, & Barkshire, 1988; Rind & Bordia, 1995) and to help others (Clark, Oullette, Powell, & Milberg, 1987; Goldman, Seever, & Seever, 1982).

An individual with a high sense of gratitude is associated as the person who can easily grasp and comprehend the positive value of every event and channel it to others (Emmons & McCullough, 2003). In particular, gratitude is considered to be positively related to optimism and hope, disallow negative feelings such as depression and anxiety, and aiming to support social relation. Moreover, gratitude can increase a person’s success in life (Emmons et. al., 2003). Researches on gratitude in an education institution atmosphere revealed that gratitude can motivate everyone to be pro-socially behaved, create a positive social relation, and build the sense of togetherness in the school community (Chan, 2013). This research’s hypothesis is that gratitude training has a positive effect to teachers’ prosocialness in inclusive schools.

Unfortunately, studies concerning on a teacher’s prosocialness in the context inclusive education, particularly experimental studies by applying psychological interventions, have never been conducted (e.g Majoko, 2019; Brown, 2019) which described teacher’s prosocialness was part of the competencies that need to be developed and taught to students in inclusive schools. This research applied experimental design which attempts to scrutinize the effectivity of the intervention based on the psychological empowerment provided to those who teach students with special needs through the gratitude training. Gratitude has been shown to predict more prosocial behavior (McCullough, Kilpatrick, Emmons, & Larson, 2001).

**Method**

*Participant and Procedures*

This study applied the quasi experimental method. Recruitment of participants is carried out openly by determining certain criteria based on theoretical considerations, for example, by considering the gender-balanced proportion of participants in the treatment group and the control group. The number of participants was determined a priori by considering the type of statistical test to be used (Wilcoxon with matched sample), the
statistical power, effect size, and measurement error. Using the G-power statistical program by inputing/choosing some criteria such as: one-tail hypothesis model, normal distribution, probability error ($\alpha=0.05$), effect size ($d=0.3$); and statistical power ($1-\beta=0.95$), the estimated minimum total sample size is 47 each group or a total of 94 participants from both groups. Through a selection process based on criteria (educational background, age, type of disability of the students, and grade level), 120 teachers from at least 7 public junior high school in Semarang and its surroundings. All participants also confirmed that they had never attended previous training based on psychological strength. They also signed informed consent as a form of willingness to be involved as research participants. In the informed consent, there was a brief description of the research, the rights and consequences of being a participant, and the confidentiality of participants’ identity.

At first, participants were randomly assigned to one of two conditions. In the identity threat condition/experimental group ($N=60$), participants were given a gratitude training 2 times for 2 months; in the control condition ($N=60$), participants were not provided with the training at all. To perform a manipulation check, we required participants to fill out a prosocialness scale. Participants were presented with a detailed information letter and were informed that our study aimed to investigate the interplay between gratitude and prosocialness. Measurement was conducted twice by providing subjects with pre-test and post-test using the The sixteen items of the prosocialness scale for adults by Caprara, Steca, Zelli, & Capanna (2005). This is a Likert type scale with five response options, namely never/ almost never correct (coded 1), sometimes true (coded 2), sometimes true (coded 3), often correct (coded as 4), and almost always/ always true (coded 5). Reliability test shows ($\omega=0.980$, 95%CI=0.972, 0.988), it can be said that the scale has an excellent reliability. Some examples of statements in Caprara’s (2005) prosocialness scale (the first 6 statements) include: I am pleased to help my friends/colleagues in their activities, I share the things that I have with my friends, I try to help others, I am available for volunteer activities to help those who are in need, I am emphatic with those who are in need, and I help immediately those who are in need. The control in the experiment was done by matching subjects to demographic characteristic. The teachers’ demographic data can be seen from this table.
### Table 1

**Teachers’ Demographic Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>threat condition</th>
<th>control condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex :</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M age</td>
<td>41.61</td>
<td>41.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD age</td>
<td>5.029</td>
<td>4.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range</td>
<td>32-52</td>
<td>33-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education background :</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor in special education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M SEN with physical disability at the class</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: SEN (special educational needs); M (Mean); SD (Standard Deviation)*

### Condition

The distance between one training and the next training is 3 weeks. Both the experimental group and the control group each measured 2 times, the initial measurement and the final measurement. Initial measurements were considered as baseline data or pretest for the experimental group. The baseline prosocialness data are as follows: group with treat conditions (M = 50.817, SD = 4.180) and control group (M = 49.883, SD = 3.608).

After the initial measurement was carried out, treatment in the form of gratitude training was given to the experimental group (group with treatment conditions). Each meeting was given four gratitude training sessions, include: gratitude appraisal, gratitude recounting, gratitude reflection, and expression of gratitude. The detailed description and purpose of each gratitude training session is as follows:

**Session 1: Gratitude reappraisal**

In this session, subjects were asked to identify the negative responses based on their past experience. Then, they were trained to change their perspectives towards their negative experiences by searching the silver lining of every event to produce the sense of gratitude.
Session 2: Gratitude recounting
In this session, subjects were trained to express their happiness according to their own subjectivity by sharing things that they were fully grateful of. This aims to measure their tendency in expressing their gratitude and understand the importance of feeling gratitude.

Session 3: Gratitude reflection
This session asked the subjects to open their eyes to all the good things that had happened to them after coping with the obstacles preventing them to feel grateful. The training provided a state of self-reflection by observing other misfortune people around them.

Session 4: Expression of Gratitude
In this session, the subjects were asked to practice expressing their gratitude by all means necessary. This was considered effective enough to improve their emotional welfare.

The final condition was considered a posttest for the experimental group. After the entire treatment session was given to the experimental group, the final measurements were taken. Both the experimental and control groups were measured at the same time and place. The analysis of the hypothesis was carried out by comparing the final measurements of the two groups.

Result
To test the validity of the identity threat manipulation, a type of t-test for independent groups was conducted. Mann Whitney independent sample t-test was conducted with the prosocialness score as a variable and treat and/ or no treat as grouping variable. Prosocialness score was slightly higher in the threatening condition (M=53.767, SD =3.027) than in the non-threatening condition (M =50.583, SD=5.649), W(1190)=-0.339 (rank biserial correlation/r_b), p=.001, Hodges’ g=-3.000, 95% CI=[-5.00, -1.00]. In support of the gratitude training has an effect even though it is relatively small (r_b=-0.339) to show prosocial behavior (prosocialness). Thus, the research hypothesis that there is an effect of gratitude training on the prosocialness of teachers in inclusion schools can be accepted.
Figure 1 is a plots diagram showing the mean difference in teachers' prosocialness scores from the time of the final measurement, in the treatment condition group and the control group. The treat condition group showed higher mean prosocialness scores after gratitude training. The following table provides more detailed information on the results of the descriptive analysis and different tests of the two measurements (initial and final) in the two groups of participants (with treat conditions and control conditions).

Table 2
Wilcoxon paired sample t-test of Prosocialness score in Experiment and Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M pre</th>
<th>M post</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SD post</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r_B</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experiment</td>
<td>50.817</td>
<td>53.767</td>
<td>4.180</td>
<td>5.649</td>
<td>1246.500</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.256, 0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>49.883</td>
<td>50.583</td>
<td>3.608</td>
<td>3.027</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>-3.40e-5, 3.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: pre (pre-test); post (post-test); W (Wilcoxon value); p (significant value) *** = <0.01; r_B (rank-biserial correlation coefficient); CI (confidence interval)
The prosocialness scores of each participant in the control and experimental groups in the pre-test and post-test measurements are shown in the graph above. It can be seen that the scores on the initial measurement in the control and experimental groups were relatively the same. Thus, control through matching and randomization can be said to be successful. Looking at the post-test scores of the experimental group (green line), it appears that more than 90% of the total participants showed a higher score than their pre-test scores (orange line). However, this was not the case in the control group. Post-test scores in the control group (yellow line) show similarity to their pre-test scores (brown line).
Looking more accurately, the bar chart in Figure 3 shows the difference in mean prosocialness scores in the two groups (control and experiment) from the initial measurement (pre-test) and the final measurement (post-test).

**Discussion**

Gratitude training is, basically, a strength-based intervention relying on a person’s increasing positive potential by being grateful for every life event. This can not only be expressed through spoken language, but also in some stages which must be gone through so that an individual is able to express his/her real gratitude consciously in their behavior.

This study verifies findings from previous studies which report that gratitude motivates prosocial behavior (Bartlett and DeSteno 2006; Tsang 2006; Tsang et.al, 2019). Previous experimental studies also reported an effect of gratitude on prosocial behavior although the effect found was not large (Tsang et.al, 2019). Considering the previous literature, generally, gratitude can be interpreted as a positive emotional reaction to benefits received as goodwill of others (beneficiaries). Gratitude experiences with positive emotions will make individuals feel positive about benefits and generosity. Therefore, one of the possible consequences of gratitude is prosocial behaviour towards one’s benefactor (McCullough et al., 2001; McCullough, Tsang, & Emmons, 2004). Using the Broaden Built theory framework, positive emotions experienced by individuals can be a resource that will strengthen the emergence of these positive emotions or other positive emotions. For example, when someone is satisfied to receive benefits from others will encourage feelings of gratitude (Fredrickson, 2004). Furthermore, this gratitude will be strengthened and generate a sense of empathy and compassion so that it is motivated to help others, at least repay the kindness of those who have helped them.

Showing prosocial behavior seems to be part of a teacher’s role. The demands for high prosocial behavior are higher for teachers who teach and assist students with special needs. Gratitude training motivates prosocial behavior in teachers since gratitude along with its manifestation applied by a teacher who teaches students with special needs will not only affect the students but it will also provide some sort of emotional control and
prioritizing the positive emotion within (Howells, 2012). That emotional states can mediate helpful behavior is not a new idea. Many previous studies have documented that a positive mood can enhance prosocial responses (e.g, Carlson, Charlin, & Miller, 1988; Isen & Levin, 1972). However, this effect is known to be limited by the hedonic limitation that only applies as long as the assistance requested is inexpensive for the helper as described by the Moral Affect Theory. The relationship between gratitude and prosociality is also explained by the Moral Affect Theory of McCullough et al. (2001). This theory defines gratitude as a moral emotion with three essential functions. As a moral barometer, gratitude indicates to the recipient that a philanthropist has given him a gift. As a moral motive, gratitude encourages prosocial behavior in beneficiaries both directly to benefactors and people. Finally, as a moral reinforcer, gratitude increases the likelihood that the benefactor will act prosocially to the recipient in the future. In line with the Moral Influence Theory on gratitude (McCullough et al. 2001), prosocial behavior is a response to gratitude. Gratitude can influence individuals to return favors through prosocial behavior (Bartlett and DeSteno 2006; Tsang 2006). Bartlett and DeSteno (2006) found that individuals who receive help from others experience gratitude and are more likely to show prosocial behavior to benefactors or other people. A reciprocal picture of the benefits obtained from others, namely by doing good or giving benefits to that person and being extended to others (Tsang et.al, 2019).

The emphasis of the goal of gratitude is to encourage one’s prosocial actions, even if these actions are detrimental to oneself at this time. Meanwhile, gratitude and prosocialness research involving teachers as participants is still very rare. This is the aim of this research that gratitude will have a major effect in increasing the social behavior of teachers in inclusive schools without certain hedonistic boundaries. This emphasis is important given the context of inclusive education in Indonesia.

The national implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia is by transforming public schools into inclusive schools (Minister of National Education Regulation, 2009). This means that the school, which was originally a regular school by only providing educational services for normal students, must gradually provide educational services for students with special needs to study together under one roof with normal students. This reality makes
regular school teachers have to adapt to new demands, namely to become inclusive teachers. It is not easy to teach and assist students with special needs to learn with normal students in class. Teachers who are accustomed to accompanying students to learn independently and quickly, with students with special needs certainly require teachers to provide assistance even individually to students during the learning process. In such situations, the teacher may not receive previous assistance; instead the teacher must provide assistance without hedonic restrictions. Therefore, the concept of gratitude which is emphasized is more personal awareness, not awareness of social norms.

The concept of gratitude which is personal awareness, for example, is gratitude which is based on the value of religiosity. There are several studies proving that spiritual and religious people tend to be more grateful. Individual those who experience the properties of religion, for example, their prayers are answered and/or experienced a miracle from God, were also more likely to report feelings of gratitude (Adler & Fagley 2005; Lambert, Fincham, Braithwaite, Graham, & Beach, 2009; Sandage, Hill, & Vauble, 2011; Tsang, Schulwitz, & Carlisle, 2012). As it is well known that several world religions teach about the importance of gratitude (Emmons & Crumpler, 2000). It then follows that one possible consequence of gratitude is prosocial behavior toward one's benefactor (McCullough et al., 2001; McCullough & Tsang, 2004). As a religious country, of course, it is grateful to be part of the characteristics of the Indonesian population. Thus, strengthening the characteristics of gratitude will influence the intention to increase prosocial behavior. It can be understood that the teacher's gratitude for whatever has been accepted and given by God morally religiosity must be rewarded by doing good and helping students regardless of the conditions. For example, if the teacher is grateful for the physical and spiritual health favors given by God, the teacher will repay the blessings given by God by helping students who are not perfect physically and mentally. Therefore, the findings of this study prove that strengthening the experience of gratitude for teachers has a great effect on their prosocialness.

This study had some limitations that should be noted. Firstly, all data were based on teachers' self-reports, which could lead to common method variance issues. The use of multiple methods of assessment would be beneficial in future research. Secondly, This
research limits the types of disabilities of students to physical disabilities. Even though this is a form of control in this experimental study, the results will be richer if there are several groups of teacher as participants in the experiment teach students with different types of disabilities. Mostly research about gratitude used scenarios as well as did in this study. Gratitude study that uses scenarios has potential limitations. First, gratitude studies using scenarios have the potential for low psychological realism (Hegtvedt, 1990). Second, participants reading a gratitude scenario may have difficulty experiencing the emotion of gratitude. This is what likely makes this grateful training have a low effect on individual prosociality. However, the results of this study still support previous studies that reported the effect of gratitude on prosocial behavior (eg Bartlett & DeSteno, 2006; Grant & Gino, 2010; Tsang et.al, 2019). However, a study conducted by Tsang et.al (2019) found that there were variations in the size of the help received with the emotions of gratitude shown by the participants. Even though a small favor is enough to encourage reciprocal behavior, it may not be big enough to generate an emotion of gratitude. In one of the gratitude training sessions, participants are invited to imagine the precious things they have received. This valuable thing seems to need to clarify its size or operation so that it will probably have a large difference in effect.

**Conclusion**

Based on the findings, it can be concluded through 4 sessions in the training of gratitude training has an effect in increasing the prosocialness of teachers in inclusive schools. Inclusive school teachers got benefit from the existence of gratitude training which can encourage them to do more prosocial behavior. Teachers' prosocial behavior has become the job demand characteristics of teachers, especially who teach students with special needs in their classes. Furthermore, teachers' prosocial behavior (including helping, empathy, loving, and being responsible for social problems in the workplace) becomes an asset in helping students learn, including students with special needs. This program can be recommended for all teachers who teach students with special needs, considering the realities of the implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia, to help teachers to increasing their psychological and social strength.
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