Standardization of durian fruit peels (*Durio zibethinus* Murr.) extract and antioxidant activity using DPPH method
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**ABSTRACT**

Durian fruit peels (*Durio zibethinus* Murr.) has been studied previously and reported to have pharmacological activity that has the potential to be antioxidant and antihypercholesterol. The ethanolic extracts of Durian fruit peels contained secondary metabolites, namely flavonoids, polyphenols, carotenes, and saponins. The purpose of this study to determine the non-specific parameters and specific parameters of the ethanol extract of durian fruit peels and to evaluate the antioxidant activity with the DPPH method. Standardization of herbal ingredients is an important thing to do so that the safety and quality of herbal medicines can be maintained. Two different cultivars of durian fruit peels are used, there are Medan and Monthong. The results of non-specific parameters between Medan and Monthong cultivars showed different moisture content (9.71 ± 0.96; 12.06 ± 0.34%, respectively), ash values (1.03 ± 0.20; 1.78 ± 0.07%, respectively), and water content (6.12 ± 0.29; 7.16 ± 0.25% respectively). Medan and Monthong cultivar extracts showed specific parameters showed different organoleptic information such as odor, color, and physical appearance, the content of water soluble compounds (36.39 ± 1.90%), the value of ethanol soluble compounds (40.20 ± 0.19; 45.27 ± 1.02%, respectively), and flavonoid value (472 ± 49.00; 310 ± 13.45 mg/g sample), phenolic value (245 ± 5.15; 148 ± 8.54 mg/g sample). Antioxidant activity was indicated by IC₅₀ values of each extract, namely Medan of 78.83 ± 1.67 µg/mL and Monthong of 72.77 ± 6.60 µg/mL. Statistical tests showed the value of non-specific parameters and specific parameters of the two cultivars not differed significantly.
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INTRODUCTION

Durian (Durio zibethinus Murr.) is an exotic fruit with a high bioactive content that has the potential to have pharmacological effects (Gorinstein et al., 2011). Fruit peels are one part of durian which can be developed as a raw material for herbal medicine because it has antioxidant, antidiabetic, and antihypercholesterol pharmacological effects (Batubara et al., 2009; Batubara; 2011; Muhtadi et al., 2014; Leontowicz et al., 2011). Muhtadi et al., (2016) reported that durian fruit peels were proven to reduce cholesterol levels in blood serum of rats equivalent to that of Cholesterolamine as a positive control. Leontowicz et al. (2008) stated that durian can significantly inhibit the increase of plasma lipids. Subsequent research Leontowicz et al.( 2011) reported that inhibition of increased lipid was due to the role of antioxidant activity produced by the active polyphenol and flavonoid compounds.

Flavonoid compounds contained in durian fruit peels are routine and quercetin (Dembitsky et al., 2011). Durian fruit peels also contains β-carotene, saponin (Rahayu, 2007), tannin and polyphenols. Phenolic compounds that have been isolated from the peels of durian fruit are 3-E-ferulyloxy-lup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid. This compound had the potential antioxidant activity (Muhtadi et al., 2014).

Leontowicz et al. (2011) examined Monthong cultivar originating from eastern Thailand, showing antioxidant activity of 1.12 μMTE/g. Batubara (2011) used durian fruit peels originating from Indonesia in their research and reported the results of antioxidant activity of 61.57 μg/mL. Experiments conducted showed different trends in results. This proves that the chemical content of medicinal plants is not always the same because of the influencing factors such as differences in geographical location, process of planting, post-harvest and final preparation so that the quality and content of chemicals from plants becomes varied (Kunle et al., 2012).

The difference in chemical content can affect the pharmacological effects produced. The varied chemical content also has various side effects (Pandey and Tripathi, 2014). Limited standard standards add to the difficulty of searching for the problem. Medicinal plants require tools to determine identity, purity and quality so that their properties are maintained (Sachan et al., 2016). Standardization is an effort that can be done to control the quality of raw materials for medicinal plants. Standardization is the process of formulating a set of characteristic standards to obtain guarantees of quality, efficacy, and security (EMEA, 2005). Standardization is a quality assurance process so that medicinal plants have constant parameter values (Arifin et al., 2006).

The objective of the study was to determine specific and non-specific parameters for herbal medicinal ingredients as suggested by the Indonesian Ministry of Health and the Food and Drug Inspection Agency. Non-specific parameters was measured, including shrinkage, air content, and ash content. While the specific parameters include organoleptics, chromatogram profiles, total phenolic, and flavonoid content. Testing of antioxidant activity using the DPPH method.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Materials

The material used is durian fruit peels obtained from the Bogor area. Durian used is Medan cultivar with the age of picking 100 ± 3 days (ripe) and Monthong with the age of picking 85 ± 3 days (mature). The part of the durian fruit peel that is used is the inside (white) and the outside (green).

Extraction

The peels of dried durian fruit with drying by the drier cabinet were pollinated, then macerated using 96% ethanol for 2 days. The liquid extracts were filtered using a vacuum device. The filters were evaporated with a rotary evaporator, after which it were concentrated on a water bath until a thick extract were obtained called durian fruit peels extracts. Remaseration process was done twice.

Shrinkage drying measurement

One gram of extract was put into a closed weighing bottle which had undergone heating conditioning and then weighed empty. The extract is put into the oven at 105°C with the lid open. The
weighing bottle is inserted into the exicator so that the temperature drops to room temperature. This work is carried out repeatedly until a fixed weight is obtained (the difference between the weighing weight and the weighing before no more than 0.0005 g) (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000).

**Moisture measurement**

The extract (3 g) in aluminum foil, put in a dry round bottom flask. Fifty mL of toluene is added to the flask through a cooler (vertical condenser), the pumpkin is heated carefully for 1 hour. The inside of the cooler is rinsed with toluene. Water and toluene droplets are awaited until they are completely separated, the water volume is read (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000).

**Determination of ash content**

One gram of extract is put into the silicate crucible which has been previously spawned and weighed empty. The extract is gently spawned until the charcoal is exhausted, cooled in the eczema, then weighed. Work is done repeatedly until a fixed weight is obtained (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000).

**Determination of acid insoluble ash content**

Ash obtained from the determination of ash content, boiled with 25 mL 10% sulfuric acid for 5 minutes. The ash is filtered with non-ash filter paper, washed with hot water, spilled until the weight is fixed (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000).

**Determination of compounds dissolved in certain solvents**

Medan and Monthong cultivar extracts each weighed 1.5 g. Weighing was done twice, labeled extract A and extract B. Extract A was macerated in a clogged flask for 1 day with 50 mL of water-chloroform and B extract was macerated for 1 day with 50 mL ethanol 96%, the results of maceration were filtered, then 10.0 mL the filtrate is evaporated in a cup with an empty weight. The residue is heated at a temperature of 105˚C to a fixed weight (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000).

**Chromatogram profile**

The extract solution was made by dissolving 50 mg of extract into effendorp with ethanol solvent. The extract solution is bottled on the GF-254 silica plate. Elution is carried out by the mobile phase of chlorophome: methanol (8: 2). Identification was carried out under 366nm UV light and visible light. Spray reagent is used for spot visualization resulting from the elution process. Reagents used were sitroborat, FeCl₃, and DPPH (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000).

**Antioxidant assay**

The radical capture test using the DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl) method refers to the research of Rahayu (2007) with modifications. DPPH powder 15.8 mg was dissolved in 100.0 mL absolute ethanol (0.4 mM). The blanks used were absolute ethanol and vitamin E as positive controls. The maximum wavelength (λ max) DPPH is 515.9 nm and the incubation time is 45 minutes, measured on the Vis-spectrophotometer. Vitamin E, ethanol extract weighed 10 mg in 10.0 mL absolute ethanol (0.1%) as a stock solution. The vitamin E concentration series was made from stock solutions with a volume of 30 µL, 40 µL, 50 µL, 60 µL, 70 µL. The test solution concentration series was made from extract stock solution with a volume of 100 µL, 200 µL, 300 µL, 400 µL, 500 µL. The vitamin E solution and the test solution were put into a 5.0 mL measuring flask reacted with 1.0 mL DPPH then added absolute ethanol, measured by a spectrophotometer. Antioxidant activity is expressed based on percent inhibition, which is calculated by the formula:

\[
\text{% inhibition} = \frac{\text{blank absorption} - \text{sample absorption}}{\text{blank absorption}}
\]
Total flavonoids

Measurement of total flavonoid levels refers to the study of Zou et al. (2004) with modifications. The sample solution of 0.5% as much as 500 µL of each extract in a 10.0 mL measuring flask was reacted with 0.3 mL of 5% NaNO₂, 4.0 mL of distilled water, then left for 5 minutes. A solution of 10% AlCl₃ as much as 0.3 mL, was allowed to leave again for 5 minutes. The solution was added 4.0 mL of 4% NaOH and distilled water to the squash boundary mark, then stored in a dark room for 25 minutes. The absorbance was measured at 510 nm with a spectrophotometer. The standard curve is prepared in the same way using routine 0.1% as a standard with a concentration range of 100 - 300 µg / mL. The results are expressed as RE values (routine milligram equivalents in each gram of sample) using the formula:

\[
RE \left( \frac{mg}{g} \right) = \frac{\text{total flavonoid content of the sample} \left( \frac{mg}{100 mL} \right)}{\text{weight of weighing of the extract} \left( \frac{g}{100 mL} \right)}
\] (2)

Total phenolic level

Measurement of total phenolic levels refers to research by Chang et al. (2001) with modifications. A sample stock of 0.1% as much as 600 µL of each extract was reacted with 500 µL Folin-Ciocalteu reagent in a 5.0 mL flask. The solution is cortexed, left for 5 minutes. The solution was added 750 µL of Na₂CO₃ 10% and distilled water to the boundary mark. The mixture solution was centrifuged 8 minutes, then the supernatant was measured for absorbance at 750 nm theoretical λ with a spectrophotometer. The standard curve is prepared in the same way using 0.1% gallic acid as a standard with a concentration range of 10-30 µg / mL. The results are expressed as GAE values (equivalent milligrams of gallic acid in each gram of sample):

\[
GAE \left( \frac{mg}{g} \right) = \frac{\text{total phenolic content of the sample} \left( \frac{mg}{100 mL} \right)}{\text{weight of weighing of the extract} \left( \frac{g}{100 mL} \right)}
\] (3)

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The extraction process of durian fruit peels are done by maceration method. Maceration is a technique that is quite extensive because of its simple and easy technique. Maseration is extraction without heating with soaking simplicia in suitable solvents and assisted by stirring (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000). Maceration techniques can maximize the compounds contained in the sample without using heating so that the compounds are not damaged by heating (Batubara et al., 2009). The results of maceration as much as 500 g of simplicia powder obtained results and yields as in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Randemen (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durian Medan</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durian Monthong</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Randemen of durian fruit peel extract from 500 g powder weight

The use of ethanol 96% as a solvent in maceration because ethanol is a recommended solvent and has good properties in attracting most of the active compounds, one of them is flavonoids and polyphenols (Saifudin, 2014). The yield results can be used as information on the possible number of compounds contained in the extract. Table I. shows the largest yield found in the peels of Monthong durian fruit with percent yield of 8.53% then followed by Medan cultivar of 5.15%.
Non-specific parameters

The drying shrinkage parameter aims to provide information on the value range of compounds lost in the drying process (Departemen Kesehatan, 2000). From the data in Table II. It’s known that the shrinkage value of the drying of the two cultivars has a considerable difference in numbers, after the statistical analysis with the independent sample T test showed that the difference was significant. This informs the difference in cultivars of durian fruit peel samples affecting the value of drying losses. The drying rate of Monthong cultivars has a higher value than Medan cultivars, this means that the number of compounds lost during the heating process is more common in Monthong cultivars. This is possible because the content of volatile compounds in Monthong cultivars is greater. According to Ho and Bhat (2015) differences in plant origin and plant species affect the components of volatile compounds, especially ethyl 2-methylbutanoat and 1-propanethiol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Results (%)</th>
<th>Statistic analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage drying</td>
<td>Medan 9.71 ± 0.96</td>
<td>12.06 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>Medan 6.12 ± 0.29</td>
<td>Monthong 7.16 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ash content</td>
<td>Medan 1.78 ± 0.21</td>
<td>Monthong 1.03 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levels of acid insoluble ash</td>
<td>Medan 0.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>Monthong 0.17 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water content is related to the quality and storage power of an extract. Extracts with high water content will be easily overgrown by fungi (Zainab et al., 2016). Water content of Medan and Monthong cultivar extracts had no significant difference. The durian fruit peel extract of both cultivars includes thick extract. The thick extract category has the range of water content is 5-30%. In the study obtained water content of 6.12% for Medan cultivars and 7.16% for Monthong, these results do not exceed the reference limit, the extracts tested were in accordance with the requirements.

Determination of ash content is closely related to the mineral content contained in an ingredient, the purity and cleanliness of the material (Husna, 2014). Determination of the first ash content is total ash content which indicates the total amount of material after ignoring both physiological ash derived from the plant itself and non-physiological ash which is a residue of foreign material that attaches to the surface of the plant. Both levels of acid insoluble ash are residues obtained from boiling total ash with strong acids. These levels indicate the amount of non-physiological ash such as silica, soil, and sand in plants (World Health Organization, 1998). Table II. shows that the total ash content of the two cultivars has no significant difference, as well as the acid insoluble ash content. The physiological mineral content of durian fruit such as iron, manganese, zinc, copper is below 5 mg / kg (Gorinstein et al., 2011). The low value of total ash content and acid insoluble ash content indicates mineral content and soil contamination, the sand of the durian fruit peel sample is low.

Specific parameters

Organoleptic

Identification of extract and organoleptic identity is one specific parameter that aims to provide information related to material identity objectively through the initial introduction of materials in a simple way. From the results of observations obtained information extracts of both cultivars have different physical appearance. Table III. Shows parameters of identity and organoleptic of extracts. In terms of the color of the Medan cultivar is brown tends to be black while the Monthong cultivar is...
brown and tend to be reddish. Based on the consistency of the extract, the Medan cultivar is rather hard, while Monthong is soft and sticky. Based on the smell, cultivar monthong has a distinctive smell that is more stinging than Medan cultivar.

Table III. Parameters of identity and organoleptics of extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identity of Extract:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of extract</td>
<td>Durian fruit peel extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin Name</td>
<td><em>Durio zibethinus</em> Murr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of the plant</td>
<td>Rind or peel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medan</td>
<td>Thick, rather hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthong</td>
<td>Thick, soft, sticky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Blackish brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reddish Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smell</td>
<td>Typical durian smell (+++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Typical durian smell (++++)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compounds dissolved in certain solvents

The parameters of the levels of dissolved compounds aim to inform the approximate number of compounds dissolved in certain solvents. Two types of solvents are used, namely water and ethanol. Water solvent to dissolve polar compounds. Ethanol solvent to dissolve semi-polar and non-polar compounds (Saifudin et al., 2011).

Table IV. Parameters of levels of dissolved compounds in specific solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Medan (%)</th>
<th>Monthong (%)</th>
<th>Statistic Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water soluble compounds</td>
<td>36.39 ± 1.42</td>
<td>36.39 ± 190</td>
<td>Not significantly different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble ethanol compounds</td>
<td>40.20 ± 0.18</td>
<td>45.26 ± .02</td>
<td>Significantly different</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. shows that Medan and Monthong cultivars are more soluble in ethanol solvents than in water solvents. These results indicate that the compounds contained in durian fruit peel extract of both cultivars are semi-polar and non-polar compounds. The standard deviation received in measuring the parameters of dissolved compounds is less than 25% and the sum between the water soluble compounds and ethanol dissolved compounds is not more than 100% (Saifudin et al., 2011). In this test the standard deviation is below 6% (attachment) with the sum of dissolved extracts for Medan cultivars at 76% and Monthong cultivars at 81%, which means that the measurement results are acceptable.
Chromatogram profile

The TLC system used is a normal phase with a polar stationary phase (silica GF-254) and a non-polar mobile phase. Identification of compounds were using the same TLC conditions, including plate size 5x1 cm, mobile phase volume of 5 mL, 40 minutes saturation time, number of dots of extract as much as 3 times the spotting, and elution distance of 4 cm. The qualitative test results of chemical extracts of extract by thin layer chromatography (TLC) showed samples of durian peels cultivars Medan and Monthong positively contained flavonoids and phenols and could potentially capture free radicals. This study only identifies flavonoid and phenol compounds because most of the natural ingredients in particular plants contain these compounds.

Antioxidant assay

Table V. The IC₅₀ values of vitamin E and durian fruit peels extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Mean IC₅₀ ± SD</th>
<th>Category*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>9.85 ± 0.69</td>
<td>Very active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durian Medan</td>
<td>78.83 ± 1.67</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durian Monthong</td>
<td>72.77 ± 6.60</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Category according to Reynertson (2015)
The radical capture test uses 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method through electron capture mechanism and hydrogen donor between DPPH radicals and durian fruit peel extract. DPPH is a stable free radical group with characteristics of electron delocalisation, causing the DPPH molecule not to undergo dimerization. DPPH mixed with antioxidant compounds will change the intensity of color from purple to yellow. This is because the reactive oxygen molecules receive hydrogen ions from antioxidant compounds (Molyneux, 2004). Table V. displays that IC$_{50}$ of Medan cultivars were 78.83 µg/mL while Monthong cultivars were 72.77 µg/mL. Based on the Reynertson (2015) antioxidant activity category, the IC$_{50}$ value of vitamin E was lower than 50 µg/mL, indicating that the antioxidant activity was very active, while IC$_{50}$ durian fruit peel extract of both cultivars was active category because it was in the range of 50-100 µg/mL. The test results showed that antioxidant activity (IC$_{50}$) of the durian fruit peels of both cultivars did not differ significantly. Statistical analysis (One Simple T Test) between the results of testing with vitamin E, showed a significant difference, indicating that the antioxidant activity of both cultivars was lower than vitamin E. The antioxidant activity of durian fruit peel extract was produced from flavonoids, phenolics contained in the skin of durian fruit. This result was supported by Batubara’s statement (2011), that phenolic compounds in durian fruit showed antioxidant effects on DPPH radicals reinforced by IC$_{50}$ values of 61.57 µg/mL, then in Muhtadi et al. (2014) stated IC$_{50}$ of antioxidant activity of durian fruit peels as large as 28.83 ± 0.48 mg/mL.

**Paramaters of total flavonoids and phenolic levels**

The flavonoid content was measured colorimetrically with AlCl$_3$. Flavonoids are measured as Equivalent Routines from routine standard curve equations. The principle of determining the content of flavonoids based on ortho dihydroxy groups and hydroxy ketone groups on the structure of routine compounds that form complexes with AlCl$_3$ recording (Chang et al., 2001). Routine is a quercetin derivative glycoyl glycone. Routine is usually used as standard standard because it has strong antioxidant potential and is able to form color complexes with AlCl$_3$ and complex compounds produced tend to be stable (Casa et al., 2000).
the inner skin and the outer skin so as to produce more flavonoids. This result is in accordance with the statement of Shui and Leong (2005) and Dembitsky et al (2011) who reported the main flavonoid compounds contained in durian namely routine and quercetin. The study of Toledo et al. (2008) mentions the dominant Monthong cultivar of flavonoid compounds, namely acid caffeat and quercetin.

Figure 3. Total phenolic content of Durian fruit peels extracts of Medan and Monthong cultivars

The total phenolic content was measured as Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE) from the raw curve of gallic acid. The principle of determining phenolic levels is based on the strength of reducing the hydroxy groups present in phenolic compounds. Reaction of Folin Ciocalteu containing phosphotungstate phosphomolibdate will be reduced by phenol compounds to produce blue molybdenum (Huang et al., 2005).

The total phenolics test results for Medan cultivars were 245.38 mg/gram samples, while Monthong cultivars were 148.34 mg/gram samples (Figure 3). The total phenolic level of both cultivars according to statistical analysis was not significantly different. The total phenolic content of the durian fruit peel tested was higher than the Batubara study (2011) which obtained a total phenolic level of 71.75 mg/gram for inner durian fruit peel and 79.49 mg/gram sample for outer durian fruit peel. High total phenolic content in this research is possible because the durian fruit skin sample used is not separate between the inner and the outer skin. Khoo et al. (2016) study states that phenolic compounds that are dominantly contained in plants include gallic acid, catechin, and cyanidin. It is also indicated that durian fruit contains phenolic compounds as evidenced by the total phenolics test which gives positive results to the gallic acid.

Factors that might influence the amount of flavonoids levels and total phenolics content of both durian fruit cultivars include different levels of sample maturity. Leontowicz et al. (2011) explained that differences in durian maturity level would affect the levels of flavonoids and phenolics produced. The highest content of flavonoids and phenolics is in overcooked fruits, mature and mature and lowest in young fruits. Poovarodom et al. (2010) states that there are several compounds that are only detected in mature or overripe durian fruit, these compounds include caffeat and apigenic acids.

Previous research stated that there was a positive correlation between flavonoid content and phenolic content on antioxidant activity, so that the higher the content of flavonoids and phenolics, the better antioxidant activity (Shui and Leong, 2005; Toledo et al., 2008). This study shows the content of flavonoids and phenolics shows the opposite value of antioxidant activity. Medan cultivars have higher levels of flavonoids and phenolics than Monthong cultivars, but show lower antioxidant activity. This is probably due to differences in chemical structure and differences in the nature of the side group chains in phenolic or flavonoid compounds which can cause different characteristics of the antioxidant activity. Therefore, a sample with a large amount of phenolics and flavonoids does not necessarily give a large response to antioxidant activity (Demiray et al., 2009; Enadis et al., 2003).
Parameters of ethanol dissolved compounds that show the results of significant differences between Medan and Monthong cultivars indicate that the durian fruit of Monthong cultivar which has a greater dissolved content, besides containing flavonoid compounds as routine and phenolics as gallic acid also contains higher of semi-polar compounds. Research by Poovarodom et al. (2010) reported that other compounds also contributed antioxidant activity to samples of durian fruit skin, one of which was carotenoid compounds such as β-carotene.

CONCLUSION
Standardization of durian fruit peels extracts from Medan and Monthong cultivars according to standard procedures from the health department showed no significant differences and all parameters met the criteria requirements. While the antioxidant activity was indicated by IC50 values of each extracts, namely Medan of 78.83 ± 1.67 µg/mL and Monthong of 72.77 ± 6.60 µg/mL.
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